

CRITICAL AREAS REPORT

January 20, 2022







Segale Properties Site Kelso, Washington

Prepared for

Trammell Crow Company Kirk Olson 1300 SW Fifth Avel, Suite 3350 Portland, OR 97201 (503) 890-5172

Prepared by

Ecological Land Services, Inc.

1157 3rd Avenue, Suite 220A • Longview, WA 98632 (360) 578-1371 • Project Number 3665.02

SIGNATURE PAGE

The information and data in this report were compiled and prepared under the supervision and direction of the undersigned.

i

Steffanie Taylor

Senior Biologist/Principal

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Introduction | 1 |
|-----------------------------|---|
| SITE DESCRIPTION | |
| METHODOLOGY | |
| VEGETATION | |
| | |
| Uplands Wetlands | 2 |
| Soils | 3 |
| Hydrology | 4 |
| NATIONAL WETLANDS INVENTORY | 5 |
| CRITICAL AREAS SUMMARY | |
| Buffers | |
| LIMITATIONS | 7 |
| References | |

FIGURES

Figure 1 Vicinity Map **Existing Conditions** Figure 2a Figure 2b Existing Conditions – Detail Figure 3 NRCS Soil Survey Figure 4 **USFWS** National Wetlands Inventory Figure 5 **WDNR FPAMT** Figure 6 Wetland Rating Form – 150' Offset Wetland Rating Form – 1KM Offset Figure 7 Figure 8 Wetland Rating Form – 303(d) and TMDLs Photoplates 1 through 7

TABLE

Table 1 Wetland Summary

APPENDIX A

Wetland Determination Data Forms

APPENDIX B

Wetland Rating Form

Introduction

Ecological Land Services, Inc. (ELS) completed this report on behalf of Trammell Crow Company to document critical areas, including wetlands and fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, within the study area of the subject property. The subject property totals approximately 120 acres and consists of Cowlitz County Tax Parcels 24095, 24385, and 439101 in the City of Kelso, Cowlitz County, Washington within Sections 12 and 13, Township 7N, Range 2W, W.M., (Figure 1). The study area subject to the critical areas delineation totals just over 93 acres and includes all of Parcel 24095, extending slightly into the northern portion of Parcel 24385 (Figures 2a and 2b). Field work occurred on November 30 and December 8, 2021. This report summarizes critical area findings within the study area in accordance with the City of Kelso Municipal Code (KMC), *Title 17 Unified Development Code Chapter 17.26 Environmentally Sensitive Areas, Chapter 17.030 Shorelines* (2016), and the City of Kelso Shoreline Master Program (SMP), *Appendix C, Shorelines Critical Areas Regulations, Chapter 1.3 General Provisions* (2016).

SITE DESCRIPTION

The approximately 93-acre study area consists of Cowlitz County Tax Parcel 24095 and extends slightly into the northern portion of Parcel 2438524100. The property is currently zoned General Commercial (GC). An extension of Talley Way provides access to study area. This extension was constructed in approximately 2010 along with underground utilities and stormwater treatment facilities for street runoff (Photos 1 through 3; Figure 2). A utility easement with unimproved access road and above-ground utility poles extends along the eastern study area boundary. The State Route (SR) 432 on-ramp borders the study area to the north, the Burlington Northern Sante Fe (BNSF) railroad borders to the west, Interstate 5 (I-5) borders to the east, and undeveloped wetland area borders to the south.

The study area consists of approximately 15 to 20 feet of dredged material placed following the eruption of Mt. Saint Helens in 1980. The filled area is generally flat, with the southern extent dropping steeply approximately five feet in elevation. Side slopes of the fill are very steep, at an approximate 1:1 slope. Access points to the utility poles along the eastern study area boundary are approximately 10 feet lower in elevation than the top of the dredged material. Fill around the utility poles is gravel dominated. Low-lying areas surrounding the dredged material are within the 100-year floodplain of the Cowlitz, Coweeman, and Columbia Rivers. The confluence of the Coweeman and Cowlitz River is approximately 1,000 feet north of the study area, and the confluence of the Cowlitz with the Columbia River is located approximately one mile to the west.

A large wetland system is located along the base of the fill slope along the eastern, western, and southern perimeters of the study area. The wetland is confined to a channel on the eastern perimeter between the dredged material and the I-5 on-ramp and is also confined to a channel on the western perimeter between the dredged material and BNSF railroad berm. The western wetland channel connects to the Coweeman River approximately 1,000 feet north of the study area, and the eastern wetland channel dead-ends near the northeast property boundary. The

wetland unit also extends south connecting with Owl Creek approximately 3,800 feet south of the study area.

Vegetation is establishing on the dredged material and is dominated by red alder (*Alnus rubra*) saplings and trees, Scot's broom (*Cytisus scoparius*), and mosses. Side slopes along the eastern boundary are predominantly vegetated by blackberries (*Rubus spp.*) with other shrubs intermingled. Utility pole maintenance access points consist of maintained grasses. The southern fill slope is similarly dominated by blackberries and other shrubs. A small, forested area lies along the southwestern fill slope dominated by mature red alder with a moderately dense understory typical of floodplain forests. The fill slope north of this area is dominated by blackberries, Scot's broom, and grasses with native shrubs in a narrow strip near the base.

METHODOLOGY

The wetland delineation followed the Routine Determination Method according to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Wetland Delineation Manual (Environmental Laboratory 1987) and the Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Western Mountains, Valleys and Coast Region (Version 2.0) (U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center 2010).

The Routine Determination Method examines three parameters—vegetation, soils, and hydrology—to determine if wetlands exist in a given area. Hydrology is critical in determining what is wetland, but is often difficult to assess because hydrologic conditions can change periodically (hourly, daily, or seasonally). Consequently, it is necessary to determine if hydrophytic vegetation and hydric soils are present, which would indicate that water is present for long enough duration to support a wetland plant community. By definition, wetlands are those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or ground water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions. Wetlands are regulated as "Waters of the United States" by the Corps and as "Waters of the State" by the Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology), and locally by *KMC Chapter 17.26*. and City of Kelso SMP, *Appendix C, Shorelines Critical Areas Regulations*.

State and federal environmental databases and Google Earth aerial imagery dating back to 1990 were reviewed prior to conducting a site visit and to evaluate offsite portions of the wetland unit that were inaccessible or outside the study area. The wetland boundary was delineated and test plot data was gathered on November 30 and December 8, 2021. Vegetation, soil, and hydrology information was collected from 13 test plots to determine the extent of the wetland in the study area and to document overall representative site conditions (Appendix A). Wetland boundaries were flagged in the study area only, using consecutively numbered, pink flagging and were surveyed by Gibbs & Olson, Inc. Test plot locations were also flagged and GPS coordinates taken with a hand-held GPS unit with sub-meter accuracy. The wetland boundary distinctively followed topography. Where there were gaps in wetland boundary flagging due to inaccessibility along steeps slopes and/or dense blackberry overgrowth, flags were connected based on surveyed topography. Changes in vegetation from hydrophytic-dominated species to a

mix of hydrophytic, non-hydrophytic and upland species was apparent along the wetland boundary.

VEGETATION

Vegetation observed during the site visit is recorded on the attached wetland determination data forms (Appendix A). The indicator status, following the scientific names, indicates the likelihood of the species to be found in wetlands. Listed from most likely to least likely to be found in wetlands, the indicator status categories are:

- **OBL** (obligate wetland) occur almost always under natural conditions in wetlands.
- **FACW** (facultative wetland) usually occur in wetlands, but occasionally found in non-wetlands.
- **FAC** (facultative) equally likely to occur in wetlands or non-wetlands.
- FACU (facultative upland) usually occur in non-wetlands, but occasionally found in wetlands.
- **UPL** (obligate upland) occur almost always under natural conditions in non-wetlands.
- **NI** (no indicator) insufficient data to assign to an indicator category.

Uplands

The upland portion of the study area consisted of historically placed sandy dredged material that has become vegetated by red alder (FAC) saplings and trees, Scot's broom (FACU), blackberries (FAC to FACU), and weedy forbs, grasses and mosses. In addition to blackberries, the fill slopes also contain red osier dogwood (*Cornus sericea*, FACW), red elderberry (*Sambucus racemosa*, FACU), salmonberry (*Rubus* spectabilis, FAC), and snowberry (*Symphoricarpos* albus, FACU). Mature red alder trees are located near the base of the fill slope at the southern end of the western wetland channel.

Wetlands

Scrub-shrub wetland vegetation in the study area was primarily found near the base of the fill slope and consisted of willow species (*Salix* spp.), red-osier dogwood, rose spiraea (*Spiraea douglasii*, FACW), and Nootka rose (*Rosa nutkana*, FAC). Emergent wetland vegetation was heavily dominated by reed canarygrass (*Phalaris arundinacea*, FACW), as well as soft rush (*Juncus effusus*, FACW) and cattail (*Typha latifolia*, OBL). Aquatic vegetation included duckweed (*Lemna minor*, OBL); yellow pond-lily (*Nuphar lutea*, OBL) was visible on aerial photos. A wider variety of aquatic and emergent species are expected during the growing season as vegetation has senesced and was no longer visible during the site visit.

Soils

The National Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) map depicts Caples silty clay loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes (17) over the entire study area (Figure 3). Caples silty clay loam consists of somewhat poorly drained soil on floodplains with a depth to water table between 18 and 24 inches below ground surface and is considered a hydric soil (NRCS 2021a and NRCS 2021b). The majority of the study area, however, consists of 15 to 20 feet of dredged material placed following the eruption of Mt. Saint Helens in 1980. Gravel-dominated fill has also been placed

along the eastern study area boundary where the utility poles are located. Native soil appears to be present along the base of the fill slopes and within the wetland boundary.

Evaluated upland soils generally consisted of sand or coarse sand with no redoxomorphic features present. Some upland plots contained a thin layer of loam/loamy sand at the surface. Many of the wetland test plots were inundated or soils were unconsolidated so they were unable to be fully evaluated. Due to the presence of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology, these soils were assumed to be hydric. Test Plot 6 within with southern portion of the western channel of Wetland A appeared to also contain fill with soil textures consisting of sandy loam, clayey sand, and fine sand moving down through the profile. Redox concentrations were present starting at 6 inches below ground surface meeting hydric soil indicator Sandy Redox (S5). Specific soil information is recorded on the attached wetland determination data forms (Appendix A).

Hydrology

Wetland A is a depressional and riverine wetland that is supported by groundwater, back-flooding from the Coweeman and potentially the Cowlitz Rivers during flood events, highway runoff, and precipitation. Historically, hydrology within the wetland unit was likely much different. Construction of the BNSF railroad berm, SR 432, and I-5 has likely impounded water causing more ponding and altered drainage patterns. Hydrology likely interchanged freely with the Coweeman, Cowlitz, and Columbia Rivers prior to this infrastructure construction.

Based on Google Earth imagery, a channel extends the entire length of the railroad berm within the wetland unit that is primarily permanently flooded. This channel connects to the Coweeman River at the north end of the wetland unit and extends to Owl Creek approximately 1,000 feet south of the subject property, south of the Owl Creek Quarry Road (Figure 7). Owl Creek flows west, just south of this road, then turns south and flows into the Columbia River approximately one mile farther the south. Fish present in Owl Creek and the Coweeman River can likely access Wetland A during the wet season.

Water movement within the wetland appears to flow both north and south but is mainly stagnant. It is not clear where the break in flow is located; however, based on a previous topographical survey, the channel along the railroad berm deepens near Owl Creek Quarry Road near the south end of the study area. The wetland unit does extend south of Owl Creek Quarry Road, but it is not likely that this hydrology influences flow patterns in the wetland north of the road. The wetland south of Owl Creek Quarry Road is topographically lower than the study area, preventing hydrological input to northern portion of Wetland A; therefore, the southern wetland unit boundary was drawn along the north side of Owl Creek Quarry Road, as the wetland unit south of the road could be considered a separate wetland unit due to hydrological differences.

Wetland A contains multiple hydroperiods including permanently flooded, seasonally flooded, and saturated only, with permanently flooded being the majority hydroperiod. Numerous snags in a portion of the wetland just south of the study area are an indication that surface hydrology is increasing, which is killing the trees. These areas also lack shrubs, an indication of persistent water, although it may be shallow. Ponding is likely greater than 3 feet deep within the channel

along the railroad berm and in other areas. Water levels do not appear to fluctuate more than approximately two feet based on water marks observed on shrubs in the western Wetland A channel and no water marks were observed on rocks along the railroad berm. Open water is present in some areas all year long.

NATIONAL WETLANDS INVENTORY

The National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) maps multiple wetland types over the entire study area including the following:

- Palustrine forested, seasonally flooded (PFOC)
- Palustrine, emergent, persistent/scrub-shrub, seasonally flooded (PEM1/SSC)
- Palustrine, unconsolidated bottom, permanently flooded, excavated (PUBHx)
- Riverine tidal, unconsolidated bottom, permanently flooded/tidal (R1UBV)
- Riverine, unknown perennial, unconsolidated bottom, permanently flooded (R5UBH)

NWI mapping within the subject property likely occurred prior to historic dredged material placement on the property. ELS did not observe any wetlands within the dredged material area. Wetland A was delineated within the mapped R1UBV, R5UBH, PFOC, and PEM1/SSC wetland types. ELS generally agrees with the R1UBH mapping along a portion of the western channel of the wetland, however, there are areas of scrub-shrub and emergent vegetation, as well as a small forested area in the southern portion of the finger. The channel also does not appear to be tidally influenced as there were no water marks or drift lines observed on the railroad berm (Photoplate 7). The R5UBH riverine channel along the eastern channel of Wetland A should extend the length of the eastern study area boundary. This channel appears to be permanently flooded containing both aquatic and emergent vegetation with a fringe of scrub-shrub vegetation near the base of the fill slope. The remaining wetland abutting the southern portion of the study area is best described as a permanently and seasonally flooded wetland with a mosaic of open water, emergent, and aquatic bed vegetation interspersed with areas of scrub-shrub vegetation and scattered trees.

CRITICAL AREAS SUMMARY

A portion of one wetland, Wetland A, was delineated within the study area extending offsite to the northwest and south. Wetland A is part of a large wetland complex that totals 7.58 acres onsite. According to the *Washington State Wetland Rating System for Western Washington:* 2014 Update (Rating System), Wetland A is a depressional and riverine Category II wetland scoring 8 points for water quality functions, 6 points for hydrologic functions, and 7 points for habitat functions for a total of 21 points. According to the Rating System, depressional and riverine wetlands should be rated as depressional. Vegetation classes include aquatic bed, emergent, and scrub-shrub, with some open water areas interspersed. There are areas of forested vegetation, but they do not comprise more than 10 percent of the wetland unit so are not considered a Cowardin class for rating purposes. The overall wetland unit contains multiple hydroperiods including permanently flooded, seasonally flooded, and saturated only, with permanently flooded being the majority hydroperiod. Hydrology in the wetland has been historically altered by construction of the BSNF railroad, SR 432, and I-5, as well as dredged

material placement following the eruption of Mt. Saint Helens. Water movement within the wetland appears to flow both north and south but is mainly stagnant. Based on a previous topographical survey, the break in flow appears to be located outside the study area near Owl Creek Quarry Road at the southern end of the subject property, which is where the wetland unit boundary was drawn.

A channel extends along the railroad berm the length of the overall wetland unit. This channel connects to the Coweeman River outside the study area approximately 1,000 feet north. The Coweeman River back-floods into this channel during flood events. The southern extent of this channel eventually connects to Owl Creek approximately 3,800 feet south of the study area. Both Owl Creek and the Coweeman River are fish-bearing, Type S (shoreline) waters; therefore, Wetland A is considered a shoreline-associated wetland and is regulated according to the City of Kelso SMP. Salmonids are present within Owl Creek and the Coweeman River so the wetland may provide off-channel habitat for juvenile salmonids and other fish species.

Buffers

In accordance with *Section 2.D* of *Appendix C Critical Areas* of the SMP, wetland buffers are based on the wetland category and habitat score from the Rating System and are listed on *Table 1-A. Wetland Buffer Requirements within Shoreline Jurisdiction*. However, *Section 2.D.7* states "Buffer widths can be reduced below the minimums when site-specific, abrupt topographical changes such as cliffs, or human-made features such as levees, dikes, railroads, or streets, indicate that extending the buffer beyond such features will not improve wetland protection." The dredged material slopes along the wetland range between approximately 15 and 20 feet high with approximate 1:1 slopes. The dredged material beyond the top of the slope affords minimal protection to the wetland and is mainly vegetated with blackberries and Scot's broom, meeting this criterion. ELS, therefore, recommends a 50-foot buffer be applied to the wetland. A 50-foot buffer is consistent with the Shoreline Designation KS-16 (High Intensity) mapped at the northern extent of the wetland unit along the Coweeman River. This shoreline designation includes commercial land use areas along the Coweeman River that are similarly located on dredged material. Table 1 below summarizes the wetland characteristics.

Table 1. Wetland Summary.

| Wetland | Size in Study Area | Category ¹ /HGM Class ² /Cowardin Class ³ | Habitat Score ⁴ | Buffer Width ⁵ |
|---------|-----------------------|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| A | 7.58 acres | Shoreline-Associated II/ Depressional and Riverine / | 7 | 50 feet |
| | | Aquatic Bed, Emergent, and Scrub-Shrub | | |

¹ Hruby 2014

² NRCS 2008

³Cowardin et al. 1979

⁴ Washington State Wetland Rating System for Western Washington: 2014 Update

⁵ City of Kelso SMP Appendix C 2.D.7


LIMITATIONS


ELS bases this report's determinations on standard scientific methodology and best professional judgment. In our opinion, local, state, and federal regulatory agencies should agree with our determinations. However, the information contained in this report should be considered preliminary and used at your own risk until it has been approved in writing by the appropriate regulatory agencies. ELS is not responsible for the impacts of any changes in environmental standards, practices, or regulations after the date of this report.


REFERENCES


- City of Kelso. 2016. Kelso Municipal Code, *Title 17 Unified Development Code Chapter17.26 Environmentally Sensitive Areas*.
- City of Kelso, 2016. Shoreline Master Plan Update, *Appendix C, Shorelines Critical Areas Regulations*.
- Cowardin, L.M., C. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. *Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States*. FWS/OBS-78/31. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Office of Biological Services, Washington D.C.
- Environmental Laboratory. 1987. *Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual*, Technical Report Y-87-1. U.S. Army Corps of Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Mississippi.
- Hruby, T. 2014. Washington State Wetland Rating System for Western Washington: 2014 Update. Washington State Department of Ecology Publication #04-06-025.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2010. Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region (Version 2.0), ed. J.S. Wakeley, R.W. Lichvar, and C.V. Noble. ERDC/EL TR-08-13. Vicksburg, MS: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center.
- U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service (USFWS). Electronic Reference. National Wetlands Inventory. http://www.wetlandsfws.er.usgs.gov/NWI/index.html. Website accessed October 2016.
- U.S.D.A. Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS). 2008. Hydrogeomorphic Wetland Classification System: An Overview and Modification to Better Meet the Needs of the Natural Resources Conservation Service. United States Department of Agriculture Technical Note, #190-8-76.
- U.S.D.A. Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS). 2021a. Electronic Reference. Cowlitz County Area. http://www.or.nrcs.usda.gov/pnw_soil/wa_reports.html>. Website accessed December 2021.
- U.S.D.A. Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). 2021b. *Hydric Soils List for Washington*. https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/WebSoilSurvey.aspx. Accessed December 2021.
- Washington State Department of Ecology. Electronic Reference. Water Quality Assessment and 303(d) List. http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/303d/index.html. Website accessed October 2016.


FIGURES AND PHOTOPLATES
















Photo 1: Southern end of Talley Way facing north in the central portion of the study area.

Photo 2: Southern end of Talley Way facing south in the central portion of the study area.





1157 3rd Ave., Suite 220A Longview, WA 98632 Phone: (360) 578-1371 Fax: (360) 414-9305 DATE: 1/4/2022 DWN: EB PRJ. MGR: ST PROJ.#: 3665.02 Photoplate 1
Site Photos
Segale Properties CAR
Trammel Crow Company
Kelso, Cowlitz County, Washington



Photo 3: Northern end of Talley Way facing south at the northern end of the study area.

Photo 5: Overall representative upland photo in southern portion of the study area facing southwest. Trees pictured in center right are offsite along a railroad berm.



Photo 4: Facing east along northern property line.

Photo 6a: Facing east in a lower elevation area in the southern end of the study area. Truck and trailer pictured at center are traveling south on I-5 offsite.



Ecological
Land Services

1157 3rd Ave., Suite 220A Longview, WA 98632 Phone: (360) 578-1371 Fax: (360) 414-9305 DATE: 1/4/2022 DWN: EB PRJ. MGR: ST PROJ.#: 3665.02 Photoplate 2
Site Photos
Segale Properties CAR
Trammel Crow Company
Kelso, Cowlitz County, Washington



Photo 6b: Facing south from the same location as Photo 6a. The row of trees in the central right are offsite along a railroad berm. Photo 6d: Facing north and upslope from the same location as Photo 6a.



1157 3rd Ave., Suite 220A Longview, WA 98632 Phone: (360) 578-1371 Fax: (360) 414-9305



Photo 6c: Facing west from the same location as Photo 6a. Railroad cars are visible on track offsite. Photo 7: Facing north from TP-13 located in the central portion of the study area.



DATE: 1/4/2022 DWN: EB PRJ. MGR: ST PROJ.#: 3665.02

Photoplate 3
Site Photos
Segale Properties CAR
Trammel Crow Company
Kelso, Cowlitz County, Washington





Photo 8: Facing north in the vicinity of TP-1, which was located in a topographically low area in the southeast portion of the study area.

Photo 10a: View looking northeasterly at TP-8 located on the fill slope of the utility pole. The I-5 southbound onramp is visible across the center.





Photo 9: Facing southeast at the northeastern finger of Wetland A. TP-10 is visible in the foreground. TP-9 and a wetland flag are visible downslope.

Photo 10b: Looking northerly at the utility access along the eastern portion of the study area in the vicinity of TP-8.





1157 3rd Ave., Suite 220A Longview, WA 98632 Phone: (360) 578-1371 Fax: (360) 414-9305 DATE: 1/4/2022 DWN: EB PRJ. MGR: ST PROJ.#: 3665.02 Photoplate 4
Site Photos
Segale Properties CAR
Trammel Crow Company
Kelso, Cowlitz County, Washington



Photo 11a: Facing west from the berm along the southern fill boundary, upslope of TP-4. Vegetation generally consists of blackberries, Scot's broom, and occasional alder trees.

Photo 12a: Facing southeast at Wetland A from TP-3.



Photo 11b: Facing southwest from the same location as Photo 11a across Wetland A at the southern end of the study area.

Photo 12b: Facing south across Wetland A from TP-3.



Ecological Land Services

1157 3rd Ave., Suite 220A Longview, WA 98632 Phone: (360) 578-1371 Fax: (360) 414-9305

DATE: 1/4/2022 DWN: EB PRJ. MGR: ST PROJ.#: 3665.02 Photoplate 5
Site Photos
Segale Properties CAR
Trammel Crow Company
Kelso, Cowlitz County, Washington



Photo 13: Facing north at the southern end of the western finger of Wetland A. The corner of the fill slope is visible at right.

Photo 15: Facing north at the channel that extends through the southern portion of the western finger of Wetland A. This channel may be maintained by beavers.





Photo 14: Facing north at the western finger of Wetland A from TP-5. TP-5 is located on fill approximately 4 feet higher than Wetland A.

Photo 16: Facing north at the central portion of the western finger of Wetland A that contains permanent water.





1157 3rd Ave., Suite 220A Longview, WA 98632 Phone: (360) 578-1371 Fax: (360) 414-9305 DATE: 1/4/2022 DWN: EB PRJ. MGR: ST PROJ.#: 3665.02 Photoplate 6
Site Photos
Segale Properties CAR
Trammel Crow Company
Kelso, Cowlitz County, Washington



Photo 17a: Facing south from the northern portion of the western finger of Wetland A. Limited vegetation is present on the fill slope.



Photo 17b: Facing north from the same location as Photo 17a. Permanent flooding transitions to seasonal flooding near the light brown vegetation pictured in the upper right.



1157 3rd Ave., Suite 220A Longview, WA 98632 Phone: (360) 578-1371 Fax: (360) 414-9305 DATE: 1/4/2022 DWN: EB PRJ. MGR: ST PROJ.#: 3665.02 Photoplate 7 Site Photos

Segale Properties CAR
Trammel Crow Company
Kelso, Cowlitz County, Washington

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys and Coast Region

| WEILAND DETERMINATION | NUATAFO | MINI - MAGSIG | iii wouii | iailis, valleys allu v | Coasi Neg | 1011 | |
|--|------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|---|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| Project/Site: Segale Delineation | | City/Cou | City/County: Kelso/Cowlitz County | | | Sampling Date: 12/8/2021 | |
| Applicant/Owner: Trammell Crow Company | | | State: V | | Sampling Point: TP-1 | | |
| Investigator(s): Baker, Erin; Taylor, Steffanie | | | | p, Range: <u>\$12, T07N,</u> | R02W | <u> </u> | 2.0/ |
| Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Floodplain | 1 at: 46 000 | | | nvex, none): Convex | Datum | Slope (%): <u>0-</u> NAD83 | ·3 % |
| Subregion (LRR): <u>A2</u> Soil Map Unit Name: Caples silty clay loam | Lat: 46.099 | 91345 | | 2.8761587 NWI classification: PEM | | NADØ3 | |
| Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical f | for this time of | vear? Yes⊠ | | no. explain Remarks.) | 117000 | | |
| Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significant | | | | Circumstances" present | ? Yes⊠ No | | |
| Are Vegetation□, Soil□, or Hydrology□ naturally p | | | ed, explain | any answers in Remark | ks.) | | |
| SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map | showing s | sampling po | int locati | ons, transects, imp | ortant fea | tures, etc. | |
| Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ⊠ No [| | le the Sar | nnled Ares | , | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No Remarks: General study area is located on a large at | | spoils TP-1 wa | as selected | to investigate a topogra | anhical low a | rea in the southe | ast |
| portion of the parcel. The feature apeared to be an abait was concluded that TP-1 was in an upland area. | andoned exca | avated road bed | l. Neither h | ydric soils nor wetland l | nydrology we | re present; there | efore, |
| VEGETATION – Use scientific names of pla | ants. | | | | | | |
| | Absolute | Dominant | Indicator | Dominance Test Wo | rksheet | | |
| Tree Stratum (Plot size:30 ft radius) | % Cover | Species? | Status | 1, , , , , , , | | | |
| 1. Populus balsamifera | 40% | yes | FAC | Number of Dominant That Are OBL, FACW | | 2 | (A) |
| 2. 3. | <u>%</u> % | | | That Ale Obl., I ACM | 7, UI I AC. | | |
| 4. | | · | | Total Number of Dom | inant | 3 | (B) |
| 50% = 20 20% = 8 | 40% | =Total Cover | | Species Across All S | trata: | | (D) |
| Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft. radius) 1. 2. 3. | % % % | | | Percent of Dominant That Are OBL, FACW Prevalence Index w Total % Cover OBL species | /, or FAC orksheet of: | 67 Multiply by: | (A/B) |
| 4. | | | | FACW species | | x 1= x 2= | - |
| 5. | | | | FAC species | | x 3= | _ |
| 50% = 20% = | % | =Total Cover | | FACU species | | x 3= x 4= | _ |
| Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft radius) | | | | UPL species | | x 5= | |
| 1. Daucus carota | 15% | yes | FACU | Column Totals: | | (A) | _ (B) |
| Various grasses* 3. | 10% % | yes | FAC | Hydrophytic Vegeta | ce Index = B/ | | |
| 4. | | | | ☐ 1 – Rapid Test | | | |
| 5. | % | | | | | | |
| 6. | % | | | 3 - Prevalence | Index is ≤3.0 | 1 | |
| 7 | % | | | 4 - Morphologic | | | |
| 8. | <u>%</u> | | | | in Remarks | or on a separate |) |
| 9. | <u>%</u> | | | sheet) | o Vocaular D | lanta1 | |
| 10 | | | | | n-vasculai P | ianis | |
| 50% = <u>13</u> 20% = <u>5</u> | 25% | =Total Cover | | ☐ Problematic Hy | drophytic Ve | getation¹ (Explai | n) |
| Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius) | | | | | , , , | | , |
| 1 | % | | | ¹ Indicators of hydric s | | | |
| 2 | <u>%</u> | | | must be present, unle | ess disturbed | or problematic. | |
| 50% = 20% = | % | =Total Cover | | Hydrophytic Vegetation | | | |
| % Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 75% | | | | Present? | | Yes⊠ No⊡ | |
| Remarks:*Various grasses have an assumed FAC in | ndicator etatue | Bareground in | the vicinity | of TP-1 was covered i | n laaf littar | | |
| Remarks. Various grasses have an assumed FAC in | idicator status | . bareground ii | i iiie viciiiii | y or TP-1 was covered i | ii leai lillei. | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Ų |

| SOIL | | | | | | | | Sampling Point: TP-1 |
|-------------|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|---|----------------------------|
| Profile D | escription: (Desc | ribe to the dept | h needed to d | ocument the inc | licator or conf | firm the a | bsence of indicators.) | |
| Depth | Matrix | <u> </u> | | Redox Feat | ures | | | |
| (inches) | Color (moist) | <u>%</u> | Color (moist) | % | Type ¹ | Loc ² | Texture | Remarks |
| 0-16 | 10YR 4/3 | 100% | | <u>%</u> | | | Sand | |
| | | - <u>%</u> | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| | • | ///////////////////////////////////// | | | | | | |
| | | <u>%</u> | | % | | | | |
| | | % | | % | | | | |
| | | <u></u> % | | % | | | | |
| | | <u>%</u> | | % | . | | | |
| | C=Concentration, | | | | | nd Grains | | 0. |
| ☐ Histos | oil Indicators: (A | pplicable to all | LRRS, uniess (☐ Sandy R | | .) | Г | Indicators for Problemat ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) | ic Hyaric Soils |
| | Epipedon (A2) | | | Matrix (S6) | | _ | ☐ Red Parent Material (TF | 2) |
| | Histic (A3) | | | //ucky Mineral (F | 1) (except MLF | | ☐ Very Shallow Dark Surfa | |
| | gen Sulfide (A4) | | - | Gleyed Matrix (F2 | | - | ☐ Other (Explain in Remar | |
| | ted Below Dark Su | ırface (A11) | - | d Matrix (F3) | , | _ | _ | , |
| | Dark Surface (A12 | | - | ark Surface (F6) | | 3 | Indicators of hydrophytic ve | egetation and |
| | Mucky Minerals (| • | | d Dark Surface (F | 7) | | Wetland hydrology must | be present, |
| | Gleyed Matrix (S | | - | Depressions (F8) | , | | unless disturbed or prob | lematic |
| | ve Layer (if prese | <u> </u> | | -1 (-) | | | | . |
| ixesii icii | ve Layer (ii prese | arty. | | | | | | |
| Type: | | | | | | | | |
| Depth (in | ches): | | | | | Hyd | ric Soil Present? | Yes□ No⊠ |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | | |
| HYDROL | _OGY | | | | | | | |
| Wetland | Hydrology Indica | ntors: | | | | | | |
| Primary I | ndicators (min. of | one required; che | eck all that app | ly) | | | Secondary Indicat | ors (2 or more required) |
| ☐ Surfac | e Water (A1) | | ☐ Water-S | tained Leaves (B | 9) (except ML | RA 1, 2, 4 | A, Water-Stained | Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, |
| | Vater Table (A2) | | and | , | | | 4A, and 4B | |
| ☐ Satura | tion (A3) | | ☐ Salt Cru | st (B11) | | | ☐ Drainage Patte | rns (B10) |
| ☐ Water | Marks (B1) | | ☐ Aquatic | Invertebrates (B1 | 3) | | □ Dry-Season W | ater Table (C2) |
| | ent Deposits (B2) | | | n Sulfide Odor (0 | | | | ole on Aerial Imagery (C9) |
| | eposits (B3) | | ☐ Oxidized | l Rhizospheres a | long Living Roo | ots (C3) | ☐ Geomorphic Policy | |
| _ | Mat or crust (B4) | | | e of Reduced Iro | . , | | ☐ Shallow Aquita | |
| | eposits (B5) | | | ron Reduction in | | | FAC Neutral To | |
| | e Soil Cracks (B6 | , | | or Stressed Plan | . , . | () | | unds (D6) (LRR A) |
| | ation Visible on Ae | | , | xplain in Remark | s) | | ☐ Frost-Heave H | ummocks (D7) |
| | ely Vegetated Cor | icave Surface (B | 8) | | | | | |
| | servations: | Vac 🗆 | No 🖂 | Donth (Inches) | | | | |
| | Vater Present? ble Present? | Yes □ Yes □ | No ⊠ No ⊠ | Depth (Inches): Depth (Inches): | | Wetls | and Hydrology Present? | |
| | n Present? | Yes 🗌 | No ⊠ | Depth (Inches): | | Wella | ind rigurology Fresent: | Yes □ No ⊠ |
| | Capillary fringe) | . 00 🗀 | 110 🖂 | Dopan (monoc): | | İ | | .00 🗀 .10 🔼 |
| | Recorded Data (S | Stream gauge, mo | onitoring well, a | erial photos, pre | vious inspectio | ns), if ava | ilable: | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys and Coast Region

| WEILAND DETERMINATION | N DATA FO | Kivi – vveste | iii wouii | iailis, valleys allu Goasi N | egion |
|--|-----------------|------------------|-------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| Project/Site: Segale Delineation | | City/Cou | unty: Kelso | /Cowlitz County Samplin | ng Date: 12/8/2021 |
| Applicant/Owner: Trammell Crow Company | | | State: V | VA Samplin | g Point: TP-2 |
| Investigator(s): Baker, Erin; Taylor, Steffanie | | Section | n, Townshi | p, Range: S12, T07N, R02W | |
| Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Floodplain | | Local relief: (c | oncave, co | nvex, none): Convex | Slope (%):0-3 % |
| Subregion (LRR): A2 | Lat: 46.098 | 31422 | | | m: NAD83 |
| Soil Map Unit Name: Caples silty clay loam | | | | NWI classification: PEM1/SSC | |
| Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical f | | | | | |
| Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significant | | | | Circumstances" present? Yes⊠ | No□ |
| Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally p | | • | • | any answers in Remarks.) | |
| SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map | showing s | sampling po | int locati | ons, transects, important f | eatures, etc. |
| Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No | | Is the San | npled Area | | |
| Hydric Soils Present? Yes ☐ No [| | within a V | | Yes□ No⊠ | |
| Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☐ No [| | TD 0 | | | |
| Remarks: General study area is located on a large at | | | | | area in the southeast |
| portion of the parcel. No wetland indicators were prese | ent; therefore, | it was conclude | ed that TP- | ·2 was in an upiand area. | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| VEGETATION - Use scientific names of pla | ants. | | | | |
| | Absolute | Dominant | Indicator | Dominance Test Worksheet | |
| Tree Stratum (Plot size:30 ft radius) | % Cover | Species? | Status | Dominance Test Worksheet | |
| 1. | % COVE | <u>Opecies:</u> | Otatus | Number of Dominant Species | 0 (A) |
| | // | | | That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: | |
| 3. | | - | | | |
| 4. | | | | Total Number of Dominant | 1 (B) |
| 50% = 20% = | % | =Total Cover | | Species Across All Strata: | (-/ |
| | | | | | |
| Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft. radius) | | | | Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC | 0 (A/B) |
| 1. Cytisus scoparius | 60% | ves | UPL | Prevalence Index worksheet | <u>U</u> (A/b) |
| 2. | | <u>yes</u> | UFL | Total % Cover of: | Multiply by: |
| 3. | | | | OBL species | x 1= |
| 4. | % | | | FACW species | x 2= |
| 5. | % | | | FAC species | x 3= |
| 50% = <u>30</u> 20% = <u>12</u> | 60% | =Total Cover | | FACU species | x 4= |
| Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft radius) | | | | UPL species | x 5= |
| 1. Mosses | 100% | | | Column Totals: | (A) (B) |
| 2 | % | | | Prevalence Index = | |
| 3 | % | | | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indic | |
| 4 | % | | | ☐ 1 – Rapid Test for Hydror | |
| 5 | % | | | 2 – Dominance Test is >5 | |
| 6 | % | | | 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤ | |
| 7. | % | | | 4 - Morphological Adapta | |
| 8. | % | | | supporting data in Remar sheet) | rks or on a separate |
| 9. | <u>%</u> % | | | → | v Dlanta1 |
| 10. | <u>%</u> | | | 5 - Wetland Non-Vascula | ir Plants. |
| 11 50% = 20% = | | =Total Cover | | ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic | Vegetation ¹ (Explain) |
| Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius) | | = Total Cover | | 1 Toblematic Hydrophytic | vegetation (Explain) |
| 1. | % | | | ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and we | etland hydrology |
| 2. | % | | | must be present, unless disturb | |
| 50% = 20% = | % | =Total Cover | | | |
| 30 % = 20 % = | | | | Hydrophytic | |
| | | | | Vegetation | |
| 0/ Page Crayad in Harb Chrahyma 0/ | | | | Present? | Yes⊡ No⊠ |
| % Bare Ground in Herb Stratum% | | | | | |
| Remarks: Trace amount of annual weeds present. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

SOIL Sampling Point: <u>TP-2</u>

| | h needed to document the indicator or confi | irm the abser | nce of indicators.) | |
|---|---|--------------------|--|--|
| Depth Matrix | Redox Features | | | |
| (inches) Color (moist) % | Color (moist) % Type ¹ | Loc ² | Texture | Remarks |
| 0-1 10YR 2/2 100% | % | | Loam | |
| 1-4 10YR 4/3 100% | <u></u> | | Sand | |
| 4-16 10YR 4/1 100% | <u>%</u> | | Sand | |
| | | | | |
| —————————————————————————————————————— | | | | <u> </u> |
| | | - | _ | |
| <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | |
| | 1=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated San | d Grains. | ² Location: PL=Pore | Lining, M=Matrix |
| Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all L Histosal (A1) | RRs, unless otherwise noted.) ☐ Sandy Redox (S5) | | cators for Problemati cm Muck (A10) | c Hydric Soils |
| ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2) | Stripped Matrix (S6) | | ed Parent Material (TF2 | 2) |
| ☐ Black Histic (A3) | ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLR | | ry Shallow Dark Surfa | |
| ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) | ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) | - | her (Explain in Remark | |
| Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) | Depleted Matrix (F3) | | nor (Explain in Roman | 10) |
| ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12) | Redox Dark Surface (F6) | ³ Indic | ators of hydrophytic ve | egetation and |
| Sandy Mucky Minerals (S1) | ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7) | | etland hydrology must | |
| ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) | Redox Depressions (F8) | | less disturbed or probl | |
| Restrictive Layer (if present): | | | | |
| _ | | | | |
| Type: Depth (inches): | | Hydric S | oil Present? | Yes⊡ No⊠ |
| Remarks: | | Tiyunc 3 | on Frescht: | IES NO |
| | | | | |
| HYDROLOGY | | | | |
| Wetland Hydrology Indicators: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Primary Indicators (min. of one required; che | eck all that apply) | | Secondary Indicate | ors (2 or more required) |
| Primary Indicators (min. of one required; che ☐ Surface Water (A1) | ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLR | RA 1, 2, 4A, | ☐ Water-Stained | Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, |
| Primary Indicators (min. of one required; che Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) | ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLF and 4B) | RA 1, 2, 4A, | ☐ Water-Stained 4A, and 4B) | Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, |
| Primary Indicators (min. of one required; che Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) | ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLF and 4B) ☐ Salt Crust (B11) | RA 1, 2, 4A, | ☐ Water-Stained I 4A, and 4B ☐ Drainage Pattel | Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2,) rns (B10) |
| Primary Indicators (min. of one required; che Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) | □ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLR and 4B) □ Salt Crust (B11) □ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) | RA 1, 2, 4A, | ☐ Water-Stained I 4A, and 4B; ☐ Drainage Patter ☐ Dry-Season Wa | Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2,) rns (B10) ater Table (C2) |
| Primary Indicators (min. of one required; che Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) | □ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLR and 4B) □ Salt Crust (B11) □ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) □ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) | | Water-Stained 4A, and 4B Drainage Pattel Dry-Season Wa | Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2,) rns (B10) ater Table (C2) ole on Aerial Imagery (C9) |
| Primary Indicators (min. of one required; che Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) | □ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLR and 4B) □ Salt Crust (B11) □ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) □ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) □ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roo | | □ Water-Stained 4A, and 4B □ Drainage Patter □ Dry-Season Water □ Saturation Visib □ Geomorphic Po | Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2,) rns (B10) ater Table (C2) ble on Aerial Imagery (C9) osition (D2) |
| Primary Indicators (min. of one required; che Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or crust (B4) | □ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLR and 4B) □ Salt Crust (B11) □ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) □ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) □ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roo □ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) | ts (C3) | Water-Stained | Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2,) rns (B10) ater Table (C2) ble on Aerial Imagery (C9) position (D2) rd (D3) |
| Primary Indicators (min. of one required; che Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or crust (B4) Iron Deposits (B5) | □ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLR and 4B) □ Salt Crust (B11) □ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) □ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) □ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roo □ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) □ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6 | ts (C3) | Water-Stained 4A, and 4B, □ Drainage Patter □ Dry-Season Wa □ Saturation Visib ⊠ Geomorphic Po □ Shallow Aquitar □ FAC Neutral Te | Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 1) rns (B10) ater Table (C2) ble on Aerial Imagery (C9) position (D2) rd (D3) est (D5) |
| Primary Indicators (min. of one required; che Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or crust (B4) Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) | □ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLR and 4B) □ Salt Crust (B11) □ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) □ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) □ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roo □ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) □ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6 □ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A) | ts (C3) | Water-Stained 4A, and 4B, | Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2,) rns (B10) ater Table (C2) ble on Aerial Imagery (C9) psition (D2) rd (D3) est (D5) unds (D6) (LRR A) |
| Primary Indicators (min. of one required; che Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or crust (B4) Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) | □ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLR and 4B) □ Salt Crust (B11) □ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) □ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) □ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roo □ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) □ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6 □ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A) □ Other (Explain in Remarks) | ts (C3) | Water-Stained 4A, and 4B, □ Drainage Patter □ Dry-Season Wa □ Saturation Visib ⊠ Geomorphic Po □ Shallow Aquitar □ FAC Neutral Te | Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2,) rns (B10) ater Table (C2) ble on Aerial Imagery (C9) psition (D2) rd (D3) est (D5) unds (D6) (LRR A) |
| Primary Indicators (min. of one required; che Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or crust (B4) Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) | □ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLR and 4B) □ Salt Crust (B11) □ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) □ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) □ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roo □ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) □ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6 □ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A) □ Other (Explain in Remarks) | ts (C3) | Water-Stained 4A, and 4B, | Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2,) rns (B10) ater Table (C2) ble on Aerial Imagery (C9) psition (D2) rd (D3) est (D5) unds (D6) (LRR A) |
| Primary Indicators (min. of one required; che Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or crust (B4) Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) | □ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLR and 4B) □ Salt Crust (B11) □ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) □ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) □ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roo □ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) □ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6 □ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A) □ Other (Explain in Remarks) | ts (C3) | Water-Stained 4A, and 4B, | Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2,) rns (B10) ater Table (C2) ble on Aerial Imagery (C9) psition (D2) rd (D3) est (D5) unds (D6) (LRR A) |
| Primary Indicators (min. of one required; che Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or crust (B4) Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B6) Field Observations: | □ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLR and 4B) □ Salt Crust (B11) □ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) □ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) □ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roo □ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) □ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6 □ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A) □ Other (Explain in Remarks) | ts (C3) | Water-Stained 4A, and 4B, | Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2,) rns (B10) ater Table (C2) ble on Aerial Imagery (C9) psition (D2) rd (D3) est (D5) unds (D6) (LRR A) |
| Primary Indicators (min. of one required; che Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or crust (B4) Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B6) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes | Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLF and 4B) Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roo Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6 Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A) Other (Explain in Remarks) No Depth (Inches): | ts (C3) | Water-Stained | Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2,) rns (B10) ater Table (C2) ble on Aerial Imagery (C9) psition (D2) rd (D3) est (D5) unds (D6) (LRR A) |
| Primary Indicators (min. of one required; che Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or crust (B4) Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes Water Table Present? Yes Saturation Present? Yes (Includes Capillary fringe) | Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLR and 4B) Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roo Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6 Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A) Other (Explain in Remarks) No Depth (Inches): No Depth (Inches): No Depth (Inches): | ts (C3) | Water-Stained 4A, and 4B, □ Drainage Patter □ Dry-Season Water □ Saturation Visiber □ Geomorphic Poter □ Shallow Aquitar □ FAC Neutral Terer □ Raised Ant Moter □ Frost-Heave Huter | Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2,) rns (B10) ater Table (C2) ble on Aerial Imagery (C9) bition (D2) rd (D3) est (D5) unds (D6) (LRR A) ummocks (D7) |
| Primary Indicators (min. of one required; che Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or crust (B4) Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes Water Table Present? Yes Saturation Present? Yes (Includes Capillary fringe) | Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLF and 4B) Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roo Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6 Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A) Other (Explain in Remarks) No Depth (Inches): No Depth (Inches): | ts (C3) | Water-Stained 4A, and 4B, □ Drainage Patter □ Dry-Season Water □ Saturation Visiber □ Geomorphic Poter □ Shallow Aquitar □ FAC Neutral Terer □ Raised Ant Moter □ Frost-Heave Huter | Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2,) rns (B10) ater Table (C2) ble on Aerial Imagery (C9) bition (D2) rd (D3) est (D5) unds (D6) (LRR A) ummocks (D7) |
| Primary Indicators (min. of one required; che Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or crust (B4) Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes Water Table Present? Yes Saturation Present? Yes (Includes Capillary fringe) | Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLR and 4B) Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roo Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6 Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A) Other (Explain in Remarks) No Depth (Inches): No Depth (Inches): No Depth (Inches): | ts (C3) | Water-Stained 4A, and 4B, □ Drainage Patter □ Dry-Season Water □ Saturation Visiber □ Geomorphic Poter □ Shallow Aquitar □ FAC Neutral Terer □ Raised Ant Moter □ Frost-Heave Huter | Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2,) rns (B10) ater Table (C2) ble on Aerial Imagery (C9) bition (D2) rd (D3) est (D5) unds (D6) (LRR A) ummocks (D7) |
| Primary Indicators (min. of one required; che Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or crust (B4) Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes Water Table Present? Yes Saturation Present? Yes (Includes Capillary fringe) | Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLR and 4B) Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roo Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6 Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A) Other (Explain in Remarks) No Depth (Inches): No Depth (Inches): No Depth (Inches): | ts (C3) | Water-Stained 4A, and 4B, □ Drainage Patter □ Dry-Season Water □ Saturation Visiber □ Geomorphic Poter □ Shallow Aquitar □ FAC Neutral Terer □ Raised Ant Moter □ Frost-Heave Huter | Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2,) rns (B10) ater Table (C2) ble on Aerial Imagery (C9) bition (D2) rd (D3) est (D5) unds (D6) (LRR A) ummocks (D7) |
| Primary Indicators (min. of one required; che Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or crust (B4) Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes Water Table Present? Yes Saturation Present? Yes (Includes Capillary fringe) | Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLR and 4B) Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roo Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6 Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A) Other (Explain in Remarks) No Depth (Inches): No Depth (Inches): No Depth (Inches): | ts (C3) | Water-Stained 4A, and 4B, □ Drainage Patter □ Dry-Season Water □ Saturation Visiber □ Geomorphic Poter □ Shallow Aquitar □ FAC Neutral Terer □ Raised Ant Moter □ Frost-Heave Huter | Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2,) rns (B10) ater Table (C2) ble on Aerial Imagery (C9) bition (D2) rd (D3) est (D5) unds (D6) (LRR A) ummocks (D7) |
| Primary Indicators (min. of one required; che Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or crust (B4) Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes Water Table Present? Yes Saturation Present? Yes (Includes Capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (Stream gauge, mo | Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLR and 4B) Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roo Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6 Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A) Other (Explain in Remarks) No Depth (Inches): No Depth (Inches): No Depth (Inches): | ts (C3) | Water-Stained 4A, and 4B, □ Drainage Patter □ Dry-Season Water □ Saturation Visiber □ Geomorphic Poter □ Shallow Aquitar □ FAC Neutral Terer □ Raised Ant Moter □ Frost-Heave Huter | Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2,) rns (B10) ater Table (C2) ble on Aerial Imagery (C9) bition (D2) rd (D3) est (D5) unds (D6) (LRR A) ummocks (D7) |
| Primary Indicators (min. of one required; che Surface Water (A1) High Water Table (A2) Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Drift Deposits (B3) Algal Mat or crust (B4) Iron Deposits (B5) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes Water Table Present? Yes Saturation Present? Yes (Includes Capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (Stream gauge, mo | Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLR and 4B) Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roo Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6 Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A) Other (Explain in Remarks) No Depth (Inches): No Depth (Inches): No Depth (Inches): | ts (C3) | Water-Stained 4A, and 4B, □ Drainage Patter □ Dry-Season Water □ Saturation Visiber □ Geomorphic Poter □ Shallow Aquitar □ FAC Neutral Terer □ Raised Ant Moter □ Frost-Heave Huter | Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2,) rns (B10) ater Table (C2) ble on Aerial Imagery (C9) bition (D2) rd (D3) est (D5) unds (D6) (LRR A) ummocks (D7) |

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys and Coast Region

| WEILAND DEIERMINATION | IDAIAFO | MINI - MAGSIG | iii widani | iailis, valleys allu Goasi Re | gion |
|---|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| Project/Site: Segale Delineation | | City/Cou | unty: Kelso | /Cowlitz County Sampling | Date: 12/8/2021 |
| Applicant/Owner: Trammell Crow Company | | | State: V | | Point: TP-3 |
| Investigator(s): Baker, Erin; Taylor, Steffanie | | | | p, Range: S12, T07N, R02W | |
| Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Floodplain | 1 -1 10 00 | | | onvex, none): Concave | Slope (%): 0-3 % |
| Subregion (LRR): A2Soil Map Unit Name: Caples silty clay loam | Lat: 46.097 | 77484 | | 2.8756122 Datum NWI classification: PEM1/SSC | n: NAD83 |
| Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for | or this time of | voar2 Voe⊠ | | | |
| Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significant | | | | Circumstances" present? Yes⊠ N | NoΠ |
| Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally p | | | | any answers in Remarks.) | 10 |
| SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map | | • | | • | eatures, etc. |
| Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ⊠ No [| | 1 | | | |
| Hydric Soils Present? Yes ⊠ No [| | Is the Sar within a V | npled Area | a Yes⊠ No⊡ | |
| Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ⊠ No [| | | | | |
| Remarks: General study area is located on a large ar | | | | | |
| the parcel beyond the edge of dredge spoil placement present; therefore, it was concluded that TP-3 was in a | | nately 15 to 20 | feet below | the top of the dredge spoils. All we | stland indicators were |
| present, therefore, it was concluded that TF-3 was in a | i welland. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| VEGETATION – Use scientific names of pla | nts. | | | | |
| | Absolute | Dominant | Indicator | Dominance Test Worksheet | |
| Tree Stratum (Plot size:30 ft radius) | % Cover | Species? | Status | Normalis and Consider | |
| 1. Salix spp.* | 40% | yes | FAC | Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: | 5 (A) |
| 2. | <u>%</u> % | | | That Are OBE, I ACW, or I AC. | |
| 3. 4. | <u>%</u> % | · | | Total Number of Dominant | 5 (B) |
| 50% = 20 20% = 8 | 40% | =Total Cover | | Species Across All Strata: | (B) |
| 0070 = <u>20</u> 2070 = <u>0</u> | 1070 | _ 10tal 00v0l | | | |
| 0 - 1 - 1 0 - 1 0 - 1 0 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 | | | | Percent of Dominant Species | 100 (1/0) |
| Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft. radius) 1. Cornus sericea | 200/ | V00 | EACW/ | That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC Prevalence Index worksheet | <u>100</u> (A/B) |
| Cornus sericea Spirea douglasii | 30% 15% | yes | FACW FACW | Total % Cover of: | Multiply by: |
| 3. | 1378 | <u>yes</u> | 1 ACW | OBL species | x 1= |
| 4. | | | | FACW species | x 2= |
| 5. | % | | | FAC species | x 3= x 4= |
| 50% = <u>23</u> 20% = <u>9</u> | 45% | =Total Cover | | FACU species | x 4= |
| Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft radius) | | | | UPL species | x 5= |
| 1. Lemna minor | 50% | yes | OBL | Column Totals: | (A) (B) |
| 2. Phalaris arundinacea | 20% | yes | FACW | Prevalence Index = | |
| 3. Typha latifolia | 10% | no | OBL | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indica | |
| 4. 5. | <u>%</u> % | · | | ☐ 1 – Rapid Test for Hydroph ☐ 2 – Dominance Test is >50 | |
| | | | | ☐ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3. | |
| 7 | // | . — | | 4 - Morphological Adaptati | |
| 8. | % | | | supporting data in Remark | |
| 9. | % | | | sheet) | • |
| 10. | % | | | 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular | Plants ¹ |
| 11. | % | | | | |
| 50% = <u>40</u> 20% = <u>16</u> | 80% | =Total Cover | | ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic V | 'egetation ¹ (Explain) |
| Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius) | | | | 4 | |
| 1. | % | | | ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wet | |
| 2. | <u>%</u> % | =Total Cover | | must be present, unless disturbe | a or problematic. |
| 50% = 20% = | 70 | = rotal Cover | | Hydrophytic | |
| | | | | Vegetation | |
| | | | | Present? | Yes⊠ No□ |
| % Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 20**% | | | | | |
| Remarks:*Salix spp. has an assumed FAC indicator s | status. **Bare | ground consis | ts of open | water. | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

SOIL Sampling Point: TP-3 Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) Redox Features Color (moist) (inches) Color (moist) Loc² Texture Remarks % % Clay Loam % % % % % % % % % % % % % % C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains, ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.) Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ☐ Histosal (A1) ☐ Sandy Redox (S5) ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2) ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6) ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2) ☐ Black Histic (A3) ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1) ☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3) ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12) ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6) ³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and Wetland hydrology must be present, ☐ Sandy Mucky Minerals (S1) ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7) unless disturbed or problematic ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) ☐ Redox Depressions (F8) Restrictive Layer (if present): Type: Yes⊠ No□ Depth (inches): **Hydric Soil Present?** Remarks: 1 inch of standing water present at test pit location. Soils unconsolidated, unable to color or differentiate matrix features. **HYDROLOGY** Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (min. of one required; check all that apply) Secondary Indicators (2 or more required) Surface Water (A1) ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, □ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, ☐ High Water Table (A2) and 4B) 4A, and 4B) ☐ Saturation (A3) ☐ Salt Crust (B11) ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10) ☐ Water Marks (B1) ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) ☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3) ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2) ☐ Algal Mat or crust (B4) ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ☐ Iron Deposits (B5) ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ☐ FAC Neutral Test (D5) ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A) Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A) ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks) ☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7) ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes 🖂 No 🗌 Depth (Inches): 1 Water Table Present? Yes No 🗌 Depth (Inches): Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ⊠ No □ Saturation Present? Yes □ No □ Depth (Inches): (Includes Capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (Stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: Remarks: Up to 6 inches of surface water present within test plot radius.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Western Mountains, Valleys and Coast Region

| WEILAND DETERMINATION | NDATATO | Kivi – vveste | i ii wiouii | tailis, valleys allu Coast Ne | gion |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------|---|-------------------------|
| Project/Site: Segale Delineation | City/Cou | g Date: 12/8/2021 | | | |
| Applicant/Owner: Trammell Crow Company | | State: \ | | | Point: TP-4 |
| Investigator(s): Baker, Erin; Taylor, Steffanie | | | | p, Range: S12, T07N, R02W | |
| Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Floodplain | | | | onvex, none): Convex | Slope (%): <u>0-3 %</u> |
| Subregion (LRR): A2 | Lat: 46.097 | 77601 | | | n: NAD83 |
| Soil Map Unit Name: Caples silty clay loam Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical | for this times of | Sugar? Vac. | | NWI classification: PEM1/SSC | |
| Are Vegetation□, Soil□, or Hydrology□ significant | | | | i no, explain Remarks.) Circumstances" present? Yes⊠ | NoΠ |
| Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally p | | | | any answers in Remarks.) | 140 |
| SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site may | | • | | · · | eatures, etc. |
| Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ⊠ No | | | | - | |
| Hydric Soils Present? Yes No | | | npled Area | | |
| Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☐ No | | within a V | | Yes□ No⊠ | |
| Remarks: General study area is located on a large a | | | | | |
| approximately 7 feet above TP-3. Neither hydric soil n | or wetland hy | drology were pr | esent; ther | refore, it was concluded that TP-4 | was in an upland area. |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| VEGETATION – Use scientific names of pla | ants. | | | | |
| | Absolute | Dominant | Indicator | Dominance Test Worksheet | |
| Tree Stratum (Plot size:30 ft radius) | % Cover | Species? | Status | Dominance Test Worksheet | |
| 1. Salix spp.* | 40% | yes | FAC | Number of Dominant Species | 4 (A) |
| 2 | % | | | That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: | <u> </u> |
| 3. | % | | | | |
| 4. | % | | | Total Number of Dominant | 4 (B) |
| 50% = <u>20</u> 20% = <u>8</u> | 40% | =Total Cover | | Species Across All Strata: | |
| | | | | Percent of Dominant Species | |
| Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft. radius) | | | | That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC | 100 (A/B) |
| 1 | % | | | Prevalence Index worksheet | |
| 2. | % | | | Total % Cover of: | Multiply by: |
| 3 | % | | | OBL species | x 1= |
| 4. | % | | | FACW species | x 2= |
| 5. 50% = 20% = | <u>%</u> | Total Cayer | | FACILIANS EAST | x 3= |
| 50% = 20% = <u>Herb Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>5</u> ft radius) | | =Total Cover | | FACU species UPL species | x 4= x 5= |
| 1. Various grasses and mosses* | 55% | yes | FAC | Column Totals: | (A) (B) |
| Phalaris arundinacea | 30% | yes | FACW | Prevalence Index = | |
| 3. Cirsium vulgare | 15% | no | FACU | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indica | |
| 4. | % | | | ☐ 1 – Rapid Test for Hydrop | hytic Vegetation |
| 5 | % | | | | |
| 6 | <u>%</u> | | | ☐ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3 | |
| 7. | % | | | 4 - Morphological Adaptat supporting data in Remark | |
| 8. 9. | <u>%</u> % | | | sheet) | ks of off a separate |
| 10. | | | | 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular | · Plants¹ |
| 11. | | | | | rianto |
| 50% = 50 20% = 20 | 100% | =Total Cover | | ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic \ | /egetation1 (Explain) |
| Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius) | | • | | | |
| Rubus armeniacus | 40% | yes | FAC | ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and we | |
| 2. | % | | | must be present, unless disturbe | ed or problematic. |
| $50\% = 20 \ 20\% = 8$ | 40% | =Total Cover | | I local mana la cotica | |
| | | | | Hydrophytic Vegetation | |
| | | | | Present? | Yes⊠ No⊡ |
| % Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 0% | | | | . 10001111 | .00% |
| Remarks:*Assumed FAC indicator status. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

SOIL Sampling Point: TP-4 Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) Redox Features Color (moist) % (inches) Color (moist) Loc² Texture Remarks 0-2 10YR 2/1 100% % Sandy loam 10YR 4/1 % 2-16 100% Sand % % % % % % % % % % % % C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains, ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.) Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ☐ Histosal (A1) ☐ Sandy Redox (S5) ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2) ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6) ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2) ☐ Black Histic (A3) ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1) ☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks) ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3) ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12) ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6) ³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and Wetland hydrology must be present, ☐ Sandy Mucky Minerals (S1) ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7) unless disturbed or problematic ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) ☐ Redox Depressions (F8) Restrictive Layer (if present): Type: **Hydric Soil Present?** Yes No⊠ Depth (inches): Remarks: **HYDROLOGY** Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (min. of one required; check all that apply) Secondary Indicators (2 or more required) ☐ Surface Water (A1) ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, ☐ High Water Table (A2) and 4B) 4A, and 4B) ☐ Saturation (A3) ☐ Salt Crust (B11) ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10) ☐ Water Marks (B1) ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) ☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3) ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2) ☐ Algal Mat or crust (B4) ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ☐ Iron Deposits (B5) ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ☐ FAC Neutral Test (D5) ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A) Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A) ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks) ☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7) ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes 🗌 No 🖂 Depth (Inches): Yes 🗌 Water Table Present? No 🖂 Depth (Inches): Wetland Hydrology Present? No 🖂 Saturation Present? Yes □ Depth (Inches): Yes ☐ No 🖂 (Includes Capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (Stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: Remarks:

| WEILAND DETERMINATION | IDAIAFO | VINI - MESIE | i ii wiouii | tailis, valleys and Coast Ne | gion |
|---|---------------|-----------------|-------------|---|----------------------------------|
| Project/Site: Segale Delineation | | City/Cou | ınty: Kelso | o/Cowlitz County Sampling | Date: 12/8/2021 |
| Applicant/Owner: Trammell Crow Company | | | State: V | | Point: TP-5 |
| Investigator(s): Baker, Erin; Taylor, Steffanie | | | | p, Range: S12, T07N, R02W | <u> </u> |
| Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Floodplain | | | | onvex, none): Convex | Slope (%): 0-3 % |
| Subregion (LRR): A2 | Lat: 46.097 | 79105 | | | n: NAD83 |
| Soil Map Unit Name: Caples silty clay loam Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for | a | waara Vaa | | NWI classification: PEM1/SSC | |
| Are Climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical in Are Vegetation□, Soil□, or Hydrology□ significant | | | | i no, explain Remarks.) Circumstances" present? Yes⊠ I | NoΠ |
| Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally p | | | | any answers in Remarks.) | 10 |
| SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map | | • | | • | eatures, etc. |
| Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ⊠ No [| | | | | |
| Hydric Soils Present? Yes No [| | | npled Area | | |
| Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☐ No [| | within a V | | Yes□ No⊠ | |
| Remarks: General study area is located on a large ar | | | | | |
| approximately 4 feet above TP-6. Neither hydric soil no | or wetland hy | drology were pr | esent; ther | refore, it was concluded that TP-5 | was in an upland area |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| VEGETATION – Use scientific names of pla | nts. | | | | |
| | Absolute | Dominant | Indicator | Dominance Test Worksheet | |
| Tree Stratum (Plot size:30 ft radius) | % Cover | Species? | Status | Dominance rest Worksheet | |
| 1. Alnus rubra | 30% | yes | FAC | Number of Dominant Species | 3 (A) |
| 2. | % | | | That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: | 、 / |
| 3. | % | | | Total Novel 1 and Brooks and | |
| 4 | % | | | Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: | 4 (B) |
| 50% = <u>15</u> 20% = <u>6</u> | 30% | =Total Cover | | Opecies Across All Strata. | |
| | | | | Percent of Dominant Species | |
| Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft. radius) | | | | That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC | <u>75</u> (A/B) |
| Cytisus scoparius | 20% | yes | UPL | Prevalence Index worksheet | |
| 2 | % | | | Total % Cover of: | Multiply by: |
| 3. | % | | | OBL species | x 1= |
| 4. 5. | % | | | FACW species | x 2= x 3= |
| 50% = <u>10</u> 20% = <u>4</u> | 20% | =Total Cover | | FACU species | x 4= |
| Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft radius) | 2070 | -10tal 00vol | | UPL species | x 5= |
| 1. Various grasses and weeds* | 90% | yes | FAC | Column Totals: | (A) (B) |
| 2. | % | | | Prevalence Index = | |
| 3. | % | | | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indica | |
| 4 | % | | | ☐ 1 – Rapid Test for Hydropl | |
| 5 | % | | | ☐ 2 – Dominance Test is >50 | |
| 6. 7. | <u>%</u> % | - | | 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3 4 - Morphological Adaptati | |
| 8. | | | | supporting data in Remark | |
| 9. | | | | sheet) | to or on a coparato |
| 10. | % | | | 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular | Plants ¹ |
| 11. | % | | | | |
| 50% = <u>45</u> 20% = <u>18</u> | 90% | =Total Cover | | ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic \ | egetation ¹ (Explain) |
| Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius) | | | | 4 | |
| 1. Rubus armeniacus | 30% | yes | <u>FAC</u> | ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wet | |
| 2. | 30% | =Total Cover | | must be present, unless disturbe | ed or problematic. |
| 50% = <u>15</u> 20% = <u>6</u> | 30% | = Total Cover | | Hydrophytic | |
| | | | | Vegetation | |
| | | | | Present? | Yes⊠ No□ |
| % Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 10% | | | | | |
| Remarks:*Asssumed FAC indicator status. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

SOIL Sampling Point: TP--5 Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) Redox Features Color (moist) % (inches) Color (moist) Loc² Texture Remarks % 0-15 10YR 4/2 100% Sand 10YR 4/1 % 15-16 98% Sand 10YR5/3 2% % Clay See Remarks Below % % % % % % % % % % C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains, ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.) Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ☐ Histosal (A1) ☐ Sandy Redox (S5) ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2) ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6) ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2) ☐ Black Histic (A3) ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1) ☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks) ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3) ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12) ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6) ³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and Wetland hydrology must be present, ☐ Sandy Mucky Minerals (S1) ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7) unless disturbed or problematic ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) ☐ Redox Depressions (F8) Restrictive Layer (if present): Type: **Hydric Soil Present?** Yes No⊠ Depth (inches): Remarks: Clay inclusions present between 15 and 15 inches depth. **HYDROLOGY** Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (min. of one required; check all that apply) Secondary Indicators (2 or more required) ☐ Surface Water (A1) ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, ☐ High Water Table (A2) and 4B) 4A, and 4B) ☐ Saturation (A3) ☐ Salt Crust (B11) ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10) ☐ Water Marks (B1) ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) ☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3) ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2) ☐ Algal Mat or crust (B4) ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ☐ Iron Deposits (B5) ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ☐ FAC Neutral Test (D5) ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A) Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A) ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks) ☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7) ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes 🗌 No 🖂 Depth (Inches): Yes 🗌 Water Table Present? No 🖂 Depth (Inches): Wetland Hydrology Present? No 🖂 Saturation Present? Yes □ Depth (Inches): Yes ☐ No 🖂 (Includes Capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (Stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

| WEILAND DETERMINATION | 1 DATA FO | RIVI – Weste | rn woun | tains, valleys and Coast Re | egion |
|--|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| Project/Site: Segale Delineation | | City/Cou | | | g Date: 12/8/2021 |
| Applicant/Owner: Trammell Crow Company | | | State: <u>V</u> | VA Sampling | Point: TP-6 |
| Investigator(s): Baker, Erin; Taylor, Steffanie | | Sectio | n, Townshi | p, Range: S12, T07N, R02W | 01(0() 0.0.0(|
| Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Floodplain | Lat: 46.097 | | | nvex, none): Concave | Slope (%): <u>0-3 %</u> m: NAD83 |
| Subregion (LRR): A2 Soil Map Unit Name: Caples silty clay loam | Lat. 40.097 | 79090 | | 2.8776484 Datur NWI classification: PEM1/SSC | II. NADOS |
| Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical f | or this time of | vear? Yes⊠ | | | |
| Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significant | | | | Circumstances" present? Yes⊠ | No□ |
| Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally p | | | | any answers in Remarks.) | _ |
| SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map | showing s | sampling po | int locati | ons, transects, important f | eatures, etc. |
| Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No [| | le the Con | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · |
| Hydric Soils Present? Yes ⊠ No [| | within a V | npled Area | a Yes⊠ No⊡ | |
| Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ⊠ No [| | | | | |
| Remarks: General study area is located on a large ar parcel. TP-6 is located approximately 4 feet lower in el | | | | | |
| TP-6All wetland indicators were present; therefore, it v | | | | | activity in the vicinity of |
| The of the Westaria maleators were present, therefore, it v | rao concidado | a triat ii o wac | iii a wollai | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| VECETATION . He a significant and a second second | | | | | |
| VEGETATION – Use scientific names of pla | | | - | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Tree Otrecture (Diet einer 20 ft medium) | Absolute | Dominant | Indicator | Dominance Test Worksheet | |
| Tree Stratum (Plot size:30 ft radius) | % Cover 60% | Species? | Status FAC | Number of Dominant Species | C (A) |
| 1. Salix spp.* 2. Alnus rubra | 30% | yes | FAC | That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: | 6 (A) |
| 3. | | yes | 1 AC | - | |
| 4. | | · | | Total Number of Dominant | 6 (B) |
| 50% = <u>45</u> 20% = <u>18</u> | 90% | =Total Cover | | Species Across All Strata: | () |
| | | | | Percent of Dominant Species | |
| Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft. radius) | | | | That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC | <u>100</u> (A/B) |
| 1. Cornus sericea | 30% | yes | FACW | Prevalence Index worksheet | <u>100</u> (142) |
| 2. Alnus rubra | 10% | yes | FAC | Total % Cover of: | Multiply by: |
| 3. | % | | | OBL species | x 1= |
| 4 | % | | | FACW species | x 2= |
| 5. | % | | | FAC species | x 3= |
| 50% = 20 20% = 8 | 40% | =Total Cover | | FACU species UPL species | x 4= x 5= |
| Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft radius) 1. Phalaris arundinacea | 20% | yes | FACW | Column Totals: | (A) (B) |
| 2. Various weeds* | 15% | yes | FAC | Prevalence Index = | |
| 3. | % | | | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indic | |
| 4. | % | | | ☐ 1 – Rapid Test for Hydrop | |
| 5. | % | | | | |
| 6 | % | | | ☐ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3 | |
| 7. | % | | | 4 - Morphological Adaptat | |
| 8. 9. | <u>%</u> | · | | supporting data in Remar sheet) | ks or on a separate |
| 10. | % | · | | 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular | r Dlante ¹ |
| 11. | | | | 5 - Welland Non-Vasculai | Fidilis |
| 50% = <u>18</u> 20% = <u>7</u> | 35% | =Total Cover | | ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic \ | Vegetation¹ (Explain) |
| Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius) | | | | | (=:) |
| 1. | % | | | ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and we | tland hydrology |
| 2. | % | | | must be present, unless disturb | ed or problematic. |
| 50% = 20% = | % | =Total Cover | | | |
| <u> </u> | | • | | Hydrophytic | |
| | | | | Vegetation Present? | Yes⊠ No⊡ |
| % Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 65% | | | | riesent: | IESM NO |
| Remarks:*Assumed FAC indicator status. | | | | - | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

SOIL Sampling Point: TP-6 Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) Redox Features Color (moist) % (inches) Color (moist) Type Loc² Texture Remarks % 10YR 3/3 100% 0-6 Sandy Loam 10YR 3/1 See Remarks Below 6-10 90% 7.5YR 4/6 10% Μ Clayey Sand 95% 10YR 4/2 10-16 Gley1 4/N 5% Μ Fine Sand % % % % % % % % % % C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains, ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.) Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ☐ Histosal (A1) Sandy Redox (S5) ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2) ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6) ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2) ☐ Black Histic (A3) ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1) ☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks) ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3) ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12) ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6) ³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and Wetland hydrology must be present, ☐ Sandy Mucky Minerals (S1) ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7) unless disturbed or problematic ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) ☐ Redox Depressions (F8) Restrictive Layer (if present): Type: **Hydric Soil Present?** Yes⊠ No□ Depth (inches): Remarks: Ample roots in first layer of soil profile. **HYDROLOGY** Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (min. of one required; check all that apply) Secondary Indicators (2 or more required) ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, ☐ Surface Water (A1) ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, ☐ High Water Table (A2) and 4B) 4A, and 4B) ☐ Saturation (A3) ☐ Salt Crust (B11) ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10) ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) ☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3) ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2) ☐ Algal Mat or crust (B4) ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ☐ Iron Deposits (B5) ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ☐ FAC Neutral Test (D5) ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A) ☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A) ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks) ☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7) ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes □ No 🖂 Depth (Inches): Water Table Present? No 🖂 Depth (Inches): Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes 🗌 Saturation Present? Yes □ No 🖂 Depth (Inches): Yes ⊠ No □ (Includes Capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (Stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: Remarks:

| WEILAND DETERMINATION | N DATA FO | KIVI – VVESIE | iii wouii | tailis, valleys and coast Region | | | |
|---|------------------|------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Project/Site: Segale Delineation | | City/Cou | City/County: Kelso/Cowlitz County Sampling Date: 12/8/2021 | | | | |
| Applicant/Owner: Trammell Crow Company | | | State: V | | | | |
| Investigator(s): Baker, Erin; Taylor, Steffanie | | | | p, Range: S12, T07N, R02W | | | |
| Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Floodplain | 1 -1 40 40 | | f: (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%):0-3 % Long: -122.8778142 Datum: NAD83 | | | | |
| Subregion (LRR): A2 Soil Map Unit Name: Caples silty clay loam | Lat: 46.103 | 30139 | | 2.8778142 Datum: NAD83 NWI classification: PEM1/SSC | | | |
| Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical f | for this time of | Vear2 Vee⊠ | | | | | |
| Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significant | | | | Circumstances" present? Yes⊠ No□ | | | |
| Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally p | | | | any answers in Remarks.) | | | |
| SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map | | , | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ⊠ No [| _ | | | | | | |
| Hydric Soils Present? Yes ⊠ No [| | | npled Area | | | | |
| Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ⊠ No [| <u></u> | within a V | vetiand? | Yes⊠ No⊡ | | | |
| Remarks: General study area is located on a large at maintenance access. TP-7 is located beyond the fill sl wetland indicators were present; therefore, it was cond | ope. TP-7, a p | paired plot with | TP-8, was | | | | |
| VEGETATION – Use scientific names of pla | ants. | | | | | | |
| | Absolute | Dominant | Indicator | Dominance Test Worksheet | | | |
| <u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30</u> ft radius) | % Cover | Species? | Status | | | | |
| 1. Salix spp.* | 15% | yes | FAC | Number of Dominant Species 3 (A) | | | |
| 2. | % | | | That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: | | | |
| 3. 4. | <u>%</u> % | · | | Total Number of Dominant | | | |
| 50% = 8 20% = 3 | 15% | =Total Cover | | Species Across All Strata: | | | |
| 30 % - <u>0</u> 20 % - <u>3</u> | 1370 | - Total Cover | | | | | |
| 0 1 (0) 1 0 ((0) 1 (0) 1 | | | | Percent of Dominant Species | | | |
| Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft. radius) | 200/ | | EA 0\A/ | That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC 100 (A/B) | | | |
| 1. Spiraea douglasii 2. | 30% | yes | FACW | Prevalence Index worksheet Total % Cover of: Multiply by: | | | |
| 3. | // | | | Total % Cover of: | | | |
| 4. | | | | FACW species x 2= | | | |
| 5. | % | | | FAC species x 3= | | | |
| 50% = <u>15</u> 20% = <u>6</u> | 30% | =Total Cover | | FACU species x 4= | | | |
| Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft radius) | | | | UPL species x 5= | | | |
| 1. Phalaris arundinacea | 75% | yes | FACW | Column Totals: (A) (B) | | | |
| 2. Juncus effusus | 15% | no | FACW | Prevalence Index = B/A= | | | |
| 3. Typha latifolia | 10% | no | OBL | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: | | | |
| 4. 5. | | | | ☐ 1 – Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation ☐ 2 – Dominance Test is >50% | | | |
| | | · ——— | - | ☐ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.01 | | | |
| 7. | | · | | 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide | | | |
| 8. | % | | | supporting data in Remarks or on a separate | | | |
| 9. | % | | | sheet) | | | |
| 10 | % | | | ☐ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants¹ | | | |
| 11. | % | | | | | | |
| $50\% = \underline{50} \ 20\% = \underline{20}$ | 100% | =Total Cover | | ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain) | | | |
| Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius) 1. | % | | | ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology | | | |
| 2. | | | | must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. | | | |
| | | =Total Cover | | must be present, unless disturbed of problematic. | | | |
| 50% = 20% = | | - 10101 00101 | | Hydrophytic | | | |
| | | | | Vegetation | | | |
| 0/ Para Crayand in Harb Chrahyma 00/ | | | | Present? Yes⊠ No□ | | | |
| % Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 0% | | | | | | | |
| Remarks:*Assumed FAC indicator status. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

SOIL Sampling Point: TP-7 Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) Depth Redox Features Color (moist) % (inches) Color (moist) Loc² Texture Remarks 10YR 4/1 90% % 0-6 Coarse Sand 7.5YR 2.5/2 10% % Silty Sand See Remarks Below % % % % % % % % % % % % C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains, ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.) Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ☐ Histosal (A1) ☐ Sandy Redox (S5) ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2) ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6) ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2) ☐ Black Histic (A3) ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1) ☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3) ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12) ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6) ³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and Wetland hydrology must be present, ☐ Sandy Mucky Minerals (S1) ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7) unless disturbed or problematic ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) ☐ Redox Depressions (F8) Restrictive Layer (if present): Type: Depth (inches): **Hydric Soil Present?** Yes⊠ No□ Remarks: Soils between 0 and 6 inches are part of a mixed matrix. Soils below 6 inches were unconsolidated, unable to color or differentiate matrix features. **HYDROLOGY** Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (min. of one required; check all that apply) Secondary Indicators (2 or more required) ☐ Surface Water (A1) ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, □ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, ☐ High Water Table (A2) and 4B) 4A, and 4B) Saturation (A3) ☐ Salt Crust (B11) ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10) ☐ Water Marks (B1) ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) ☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3) ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) ☐ Geomorphic Position (D2) ☐ Algal Mat or crust (B4) ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ☐ Iron Deposits (B5) ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ☐ FAC Neutral Test (D5) ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A) Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A) ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks) ☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7) ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes 🗌 No 🖂 Depth (Inches): Water Table Present? Yes 🖂 No 🗌 Depth (Inches): 4 Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ⊠ No □ Saturation Present? Yes 🖂 No □ Depth (Inches): 2 (Includes Capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (Stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: Remarks:

| WEILAND DEIERMINATIO | NUATAFO | INIVI – VVESIE | i ii wouii | iailis, valleys allu v | Juan Neg | jion | |
|--|------------------|------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|
| Project/Site: Segale Delineation | | City/Cou | | /Cowlitz County | | Date: 12/8/2021 | |
| Applicant/Owner: Trammell Crow Company | | <u> </u> | State: V | | | Point: TP-8 | |
| nvestigator(s): Baker, Erin; Taylor, Steffanie | | | | p, Range: <u>\$12, T07N,</u> | R02W | 21 (21) | |
| Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Floodplain | L at: 40 40' | | | onvex, none): Convex | Detum | Slope (%): <u>0</u> NAD83 | -3 % |
| Subregion (LRR): A2 | Lat:_46.102 | 29728 | | 2.8778659 NWI classification: PEM | | NAD83 | |
| Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical | for this time of | f vear? Yes⊠ | | | 11/330 | | |
| Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significan | | Δr | · Normal (| Circumstances" present | ? Yes⊠ N | оП | |
| Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally p | | (If need | ed, explain | any answers in Remark | rs.) | _ | |
| SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site may | p showing s | sampling po | int locati | ons, transects, imp | ortant fea | atures, etc. | |
| Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ⊠ No | | | | | | | |
| Hydric Soils Present? Yes ☐ No | \boxtimes | within a V | npled Area | | lo⊠ | | |
| Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☐ No Remarks: General study area is located on a large a | | | | | | | |
| maintenance access. TP-8 was taken along the easte nor wetland hydrology were present; therefore, it was | ern boundary o | of the parcel on | a steep slo | pe approximately 6 fee | | | soil |
| VEGETATION – Use scientific names of pla | ants. Absolute | Dominant | Indicator | Dominance Test Wo | rksheet | | |
| Tree Stratum (Plot size:30 ft radius) | % Cover | Species? | Status | Dominance rest we | i KSHEEL | | |
| 1 | % | | | Number of Dominant | | 2 | (A) |
| 2 | % | | | That Are OBL, FACW | , or FAC: | | • |
| 3 | % | | | Total Number of Dom | inant | | |
| 4. | % | Tatal Carra | | Species Across All St | | 2 | _ (B) |
| 50% = 20% = | % | =Total Cover | | | | | |
| Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft. radius) 1. 2. 3. | % % % | | | Percent of Dominant That Are OBL, FACW Prevalence Index we Total % Cover OBL species | or FAC orksheet of: | 100 Multiply by: x 1= | (A/B) |
| 4 | % | | | FACW species | | x 2= | _ |
| 5 20% = | <u>%</u> % | =Total Cover | | FAC species FACU species | | x 2= x 3= x 4= | _ |
| Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft radius) | 70 | = rotal Cover | | UPL species | | x 4= x 5= | _ |
| 1. Phalaris arundinacea | 60% | yes | FACW | Column Totals: | | (A) | (B) |
| Galium aparine | 15% | no | FACU | | ce Index = B | | _ (-) |
| 3. Dipsacus fullonum | 15% | no | FAC | Hydrophytic Vegeta | | | |
| 4. Equisetum arvense | 10% | no | FAC | ☐ 1 – Rapid Test | | | |
| 5 | % | | | 2 – Dominance | | | |
| 6. | % | | | 3 - Prevalence | | | |
| 7. 8. | - <u>%</u> % | | | 4 - Morphologic | | ns (Provide or on a separate | ^ |
| 9. | - <u>//</u> % | | | sheet) | III Nemana | or on a separate | 5 |
| 10. | // | | | 5 - Wetland No | n-Vascular F | Plants ¹ | |
| 11. | % | | | 1 | | | |
| 50% = <u>50</u> 20% = <u>20</u> | 100% | =Total Cover | | ☐ Problematic Hy | drophytic Ve | egetation¹ (Explai | in) |
| Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius) | | | | | | | |
| 1. Rubus armeniacus | 60% | yes | FAC | ¹Indicators of hydric s | | | |
| 2. | 60% | =Total Cover | | must be present, unle | ss distuibet | or problematic. | |
| 50% = <u>30</u> 20% = <u>12</u> | 0078 | - Total Gover | | Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? | | Yes⊠ No[| |
| % Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 0% | | | | | | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| OIL Brofile D | oscription: (Dosc | ribo to the don | th needed to doo | umant tha indi | icator or con | firm the al | heance of indicators \ | Sampling Point: TP-8 |
|------------------|--------------------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|--|---------------------------|
| Profile D | escription: (Desc | ribe to the dep | tn needed to doci | ument the indi | cator or con | nrm the a | bsence of indicators.) | |
| Depth | Matrix | | Onlaw (maniat) | Redox Featu | | 12 | Taratama | Damada |
| inches) | Color (moist) | <u> </u> | Color (moist) | % | Type ¹ | Loc ² | Texture | Remarks See Remarks Below |
| | | | | - // % | | | | See Remarks Delow |
| | | | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| | | | | <u> </u> | | | | - |
| | | % | | % | | | | |
| | | % | | % | | | | |
| | | % | | % | | | | |
| | | <u></u> % | | % | | | | |
| | | | M=Reduced Matrix | | | | ² Location: PL=Por | |
| | | pplicable to all | LRRs, unless oth | |) | | Indicators for Problema | tic Hydric Soils |
| Histos | | | ☐ Sandy Red | | | | 2 cm Muck (A10) | , |
| | Epipedon (A2) | | Stripped Ma | | | | Red Parent Material (TF | |
| | Histic (A3) | | - | ky Mineral (F1 | | - | ☐ Very Shallow Dark Surf | |
| - | gen Sulfide (A4) | | | yed Matrix (F2) | | | Other (Explain in Rema | rks) |
| Deplet | ted Below Dark Su | rface (A11) | □ Depleted M | latrix (F3) | | | | |
| Thick | Dark Surface (A12 |) | ☐ Redox Darl | Surface (F6) | | ³ | ndicators of hydrophytic v | |
| ☐ Sandy | Mucky Minerals (| S1) | □ Depleted D | ark Surface (F | 7) | | Wetland hydrology mus | |
| ☐ Sandy | Gleyed Matrix (S4 | 1) | ☐ Redox Dep | ressions (F8) | | | unless disturbed or prol | olematic |
| Restrictiv | ve Layer (if prese | nt): | | | | | | |
| | , | , | | | | | | |
| Гуре: | | | | | | | | |
| Depth (in | | | | | | _ | ic Soil Present? vation than the adjacent w | Yes⊡ No⊠ |
| YDROL Vetland | ₋OGY Hydrology Indica | tors: | | | | | | |
| | ndicators (min. of c | | eck all that apply) | | | | Secondary Indica | tors (2 or more required) |
| | e Water (A1) | | ☐ Water-Stair | ned Leaves (B9 |) (except ML | .RA 1, 2, 4 | A, | Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2 |
| ☐ High V | Vater Table (A2) | | and 4B |) | | | 4A, and 4E | 3) |
| ☐ Satura | ition (A3) | | ☐ Salt Crust (| B11) | | | ☐ Drainage Patte | erns (B10) |
| ☐ Water | Marks (B1) | | ☐ Aquatic Inv | ertebrates (B13 | 3) | | □ Dry-Season W | ater Table (C2) |
| ☐ Sedim | ent Deposits (B2) | | ☐ Hydrogen S | Sulfide Odor (C | 1) | | ☐ Saturation Vis | ible on Aerial Imagery (C |
| Drift D | eposits (B3) | | Oxidized R | hizospheres ald | ong Living Ro | ots (C3) | ☐ Geomorphic P | osition (D2) |
| Algal N | Mat or crust (B4) | | ☐ Presence o | f Reduced Iron | (C4) | | ☐ Shallow Aquita | ard (D3) |
| Iron D | eposits (B5) | | ☐ Recent Iron | Reduction in | Γilled Soils (C | 6) | ☐ FAC Neutral T | est (D5) |
| | e Soil Cracks (B6) | | ☐ Stunted or \$ | | | - | ☐ Raised Ant Mo | ounds (D6) (LRR A) |
| | ation Visible on Ae | | | | | , | Frost-Heave H | |
| | ely Vegetated Con | | | | , | | _ | () |
| | servations: | | • | | | | | |
| | Vater Present? | Yes 🗌 | No ⊠ De | epth (Inches): | | | | |
| Nater Ta | ble Present? | Yes 🗌 | | pth (Inches): | | Wetla | nd Hydrology Present? | |
| | n Present? | Yes 🗌 | No 🛛 De | pth (Inches): _ | | ļ | | Yes ☐ No 🛛 |
| | Capillary fringe) | | | | | | | |
| Describe | Recorded Data (S | tream gauge, m | onitoring well, aeri | al photos, prev | ious inspectio | ons), if avai | llable: | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Domortes | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| WEILAND DETERMINATION | I DAIA I O | itin Woolo | iii woan | tailis, validys and oddst Region | | | |
|---|---------------|----------------------|--|--|-----|--|--|
| Project/Site: Segale Delineation | | City/Cou | City/County: Kelso/Cowlitz County Sampling Date: 12/8/2021 | | | | |
| Applicant/Owner: Trammell Crow Company | | | State: V | | | | |
| Investigator(s): Baker, Erin; Taylor, Steffanie Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Floodplain | | | | p, Range: S12, T07N, R02W onvex, none): Concave Slope (%):0-3 % | _ | | |
| Subregion (LRR): A2 | Lat: 46.105 | | | 2.8814694 Datum: NAD83 | , | | |
| Soil Map Unit Name: Caples silty clay loam | _a <u></u> | | | NWI classification: PEM1/SSC | | | |
| Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for | | | | | | | |
| Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significant | | | | Circumstances" present? Yes⊠ No□ | | | |
| Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally p | | , | | any answers in Remarks.) | | | |
| SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map | _ | ampling po | int locati | ons, transects, important features, etc. | | | |
| Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ⊠ No [Hydric Soils Present? Yes ⊠ No [| | | npled Area | | | | |
| Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ⊠ No [| | within a V | Vetland? | Yes⊠ No⊡ | | | |
| Remarks: General study area is located on a large ar | | | | | | | |
| | | | | s taken along the northeastern boundary of the parcel. A | Ш | | |
| wetland indicators were present; therefore, it was cond | luded that TP | -9 was in a we | tiand. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| VEGETATION | 1 | | | _ | | | |
| VEGETATION – Use scientific names of pla | | | | T = = | | | |
| Tree Stratum (Plot size:30 ft radius) | Absolute | Dominant Species? | Indicator | Dominance Test Worksheet | | | |
| 1. | % Cover % | Species? | Status | Number of Dominant Species 3 (A) | ١. | | |
| 2. | | | | That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: | ' | | |
| 3. | % | | | 1 | | | |
| 4 | % | | | Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B) |) | | |
| 50% = 20% = | % | =Total Cover | | Species Across Air Strata. | | | |
| | | | | Percent of Dominant Species | | | |
| Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft. radius) | . = | | | That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC 100 (A/ | /B) | | |
| 1. Populus balsamifera 2. | 15% | yes | FAC | Prevalence Index worksheet | | | |
| 3. | % | | | Total % Cover of: | | | |
| 4. | | | | FACW species | | | |
| 5. | % | | , | FAC species x 3= | | | |
| $50\% = 8 \ 20\% = 3$ | 15% | =Total Cover | | FACU species x 4= | | | |
| Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft radius) 1. Phalaris arundinacea | 65% | VOS | FACW | UPL species x 5= Column Totals: (A) (I | B) | | |
| 2. Lemma minor | 20% | <u>yes</u> yes | OBL | Prevalence Index = B/A= | וט | | |
| 3. Juncus effusus | 10% | no | FACW | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: | _ | | |
| 4. | % | | | ☐ 1 – Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation | | | |
| 5 | % | | | | | | |
| 6. 7. | <u>%</u> | | | ☐ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹☐ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide | | | |
| 8. | | | | supporting data in Remarks or on a separate | | | |
| 9. | | | | sheet) | | | |
| 10. | % | | | ☐ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants¹ | | | |
| 11 | <u>%</u> | | | | | | |
| 50% = <u>48</u> 20% = <u>19</u> <u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>15</u> ft radius) | 95% | =Total Cover | | ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain) | | | |
| 1. | % | | | ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology | | | |
| 2. | | | | must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. | | | |
| 50% = 20% = | % | =Total Cover | | , , | | | |
| | | | | Hydrophytic | | | |
| | | | | Vegetation Present? Yes⊠ No□ | | | |
| % Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>5*%</u> | | | | rieseit! Tes No | | | |
| Remarks:*Bareground consisted of open water. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

SOIL Sampling Point: TP-9 Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) Redox Features Color (moist) (inches) Color (moist) Loc² Texture Remarks % % See Remarks Below % % % % % % % % % % % % % % C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains, ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.) Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ☐ Histosal (A1) ☐ Sandy Redox (S5) ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2) ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6) ☐ Red Parent Material (TF2) ☐ Black Histic (A3) ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1) ☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3) ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12) ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6) ³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and Wetland hydrology must be present, ☐ Sandy Mucky Minerals (S1) ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7) unless disturbed or problematic ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) ☐ Redox Depressions (F8) Restrictive Layer (if present): Type: Yes⊠ No□ Depth (inches): **Hydric Soil Present?** Remarks: Test plot area inundated with approximately 6 inches of water. No test pit dug, soils assumed hydric. **HYDROLOGY** Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (min. of one required; check all that apply) Secondary Indicators (2 or more required) Surface Water (A1) ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, ☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, ☐ High Water Table (A2) and 4B) 4A, and 4B) ☐ Saturation (A3) ☐ Salt Crust (B11) ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10) ☐ Water Marks (B1) ☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) ☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) ☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2) ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3) ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) □ Geomorphic Position (D2) ☐ Algal Mat or crust (B4) ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3) ☐ Iron Deposits (B5) ☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) ☐ FAC Neutral Test (D5) ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A) Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A) ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks) ☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7) ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes 🖂 No 🗌 Depth (Inches): 6 Water Table Present? Yes No 🗌 Depth (Inches): Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ⊠ No □ Saturation Present? Yes □ No □ Depth (Inches): (Includes Capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (Stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: Remarks:

| WEILAND DETERMINATION | NDATAFO | KIVI – WESIE | iii wouii | tallis, Valleys and Coast Region | |
|---|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--|--------------|
| Project/Site: Segale Delineation | | City/Cou | unty: Kelso | /Cowlitz County Sampling Date: 12/8/2021 | |
| Applicant/Owner: Trammell Crow Company | | | State: V | | |
| Investigator(s): Baker, Erin; Taylor, Steffanie | | | | p, Range: S12, T07N, R02W | |
| Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Floodplain | | | | onvex, none): Convex Slope (%): 0-3 | <u>%</u> |
| Subregion (LRR): A2 | Lat: 46.10 | 58234 | | 2.8816031 Datum: NAD83 | |
| Soil Map Unit Name: Caples silty clay loam | (a.t. (t | | | NWI classification: PEM1/SSC | |
| Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical | | | | | |
| Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significant Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally p | | | | Circumstances" present? Yes⊠ No□ any answers in Remarks.) | |
| SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map | | • | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | | samping po | IIIL IOCALI | ons, transects, important reatures, etc. | |
| Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ⊠ No Hydric Soils Present? Yes □ No | | Is the Sar | npled Area | a | |
| Wetland Hydrology Present? | | within a V | Vetland? | Yes⊡ No⊠ | |
| Remarks: General study area is located on a large a | | spoils. The eas | stern portio | n of the study area also contains fill for utility pole | |
| maintenance access.TP-10 is located beyond the fill s | slope. TP-10 w | as taken near | the northea | astern boundary of the parcel on a steep slope | |
| approximately 15 feet above TP-9. Neither hydric soil | nor wetland h | ydrology were į | present; the | erefore, it was concluded that TP-10 was in an upland a | ırea |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| VEGETATION – Use scientific names of pla | ante | | | | |
| VEGETATION GGC Scientino names of pic | | Daminant | la dia atau | Dominance Test Worksheet | |
| Tree Stratum (Plot size:30 ft radius) | Absolute % Cover | Dominant | Indicator Status | Dominance Test Worksheet | |
| 1. Pseudotsuga menziesii | 45% | Species? yes | FACU | Number of Dominant Species 2 (/ | A) |
| 2. | 45% | yes | 1 700 | That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: | ٦) |
| 3. | | | | - | |
| 4. | | | | Total Number of Dominant 3 (I | B) |
| 50% = <u>23</u> 20% = <u>9</u> | 45% | =Total Cover | | Species Across All Strata: | -, |
| | | | | Description of Description | |
| Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft. radius) | | | | Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC 67 (A | A/B) |
| 1. Populus balsamifera | 20% | yes | FAC | Prevalence Index worksheet | √ (Ο) |
| 2. | % | | 1710 | Total % Cover of: Multiply by: | |
| 3. | % | | | OBL species x 1= | - |
| 4. | % | | | FACW species x 2= | |
| 5. | % | | | FAC species x 3= | |
| 50% = <u>10</u> 20% = <u>4</u> | 20% | =Total Cover | | FACU species x 4= | |
| Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft radius) | | | | UPL species x 5= | |
| 1. Various weeds* | 50% | yes | FAC | | (B) |
| 2. | % | | | Prevalence Index = B/A= | |
| 3. | % % | | | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: | |
| 4. 5. | | | | ☐ 1 – Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation ☐ 2 – Dominance Test is >50% | |
| | | · · | | ☐ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.01 | |
| 7 | | | - | 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide | |
| 8. | % | | | supporting data in Remarks or on a separate | |
| 9. | % | | | sheet) | |
| 10. | % | | | ☐ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants¹ | |
| 11. | % | | | | |
| $50\% = 25 \ 20\% = 10$ | 50% | =Total Cover | | ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain) | |
| Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius) | | | | 4 | |
| 1. | % | | | ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology | |
| 2. | <u>%</u> % | Total Cayor | | must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. | |
| 50% = 20% = | | =Total Cover | | Hydrophytic | |
| | | | | Vegetation | |
| | | | | Present? Yes⊠ No□ | |
| % Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 50% | | | | | |
| Remarks:*Assumed FAC indicator status. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| SOIL | | | | | | | | Sampling Point: TP-10 |
|------------------|---|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Profile De | escription: (Desc | ribe to the dept | h needed to doo | cument the inc | dicator or conf | firm the al | osence of indicators.) | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Depth | Matrix | | Calar (maint) | Redox Feat | | 1.002 | Taxtura | Domonico |
| (inches) 0-16 | Color (moist) 10YR 4/1 | <u>%</u> 100% | Color (moist) | | Type ¹ | Loc ² | Texture Sand | Remarks |
| | 10111 4/1 | <u> </u> | | | | | Gana | · - |
| | | | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| | | % | | % | | | | |
| | | % | | % | | | | |
| | | % | | % | | | | |
| | | % | | % | | | | |
| | | % | | % | | | | |
| | =Concentration, | | | | | | ² Location: PL=Por | |
| - | oil Indicators: (A | pplicable to all I | | | l.) | | Indicators for Problema | tic Hydric Soils |
| Histosa | , , | | ☐ Sandy Re | | | |] 2 cm Muck (A10) | - 0) |
| | Epipedon (A2) | | ☐ Stripped N | | 4) (| | Red Parent Material (TF | |
| ☐ Black H | • • | | - | icky Mineral (F | | | Very Shallow Dark Surf | |
| | gen Sulfide (A4) | | | eyed Matrix (F2 | <u>(</u>) | L | Other (Explain in Rema | rks) |
| | ed Below Dark Su | | Depleted I | | | 0. | | |
| | Dark Surface (A12 | • | | rk Surface (F6) | | 3 | ndicators of hydrophytic v | |
| - | Mucky Minerals (| , | - | Dark Surface (F | - 7) | | Wetland hydrology mus | |
| ☐ Sandy | Gleyed Matrix (S | 4) | ☐ Redox De | pressions (F8) | | | unless disturbed or prob | Diematic |
| Restrictiv | e Layer (if prese | ent): | | | | | | · |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Type: | . | | | | | | | v 🗆 v 🖂 |
| Depth (inc | :hes): | | | | | Hydr | ic Soil Present? | Yes⊡ No⊠ |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | | |
| HYDROL | | | | | | | | |
| | Hydrology Indication of the discators (min. of the discators) | | ook all that apply | | | | 0 | |
| Filliary III | dicators (min. or | one required, che | eck all that apply, | 1 | | | Secondary Indica | tors (2 or more required) |
| | e Water (A1) | | ☐ Water-Sta | ined Leaves (B | 9) (except ML | RA 1, 2, 4 | A, | Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, |
| ☐ High W | /ater Table (A2) | | and 4 | B) | | | 4A, and 4E | 3) |
| ☐ Saturat | tion (A3) | | ☐ Salt Crust | (B11) | | | ☐ Drainage Patte | erns (B10) |
| ■ Water | Marks (B1) | | ☐ Aquatic In | vertebrates (B1 | 3) | | ☐ Dry-Season W | /ater Table (C2) |
| ☐ Sedime | ent Deposits (B2) | | ☐ Hydrogen | Sulfide Odor (C | C1) | | ☐ Saturation Visit | ible on Aerial Imagery (C9) |
| | eposits (B3) | | | Rhizospheres a | | ots (C3) | ☐ Geomorphic P | |
| ☐ Algal M | fat or crust (B4) | | ☐ Presence | of Reduced Iro | n (C4) | | ☐ Shallow Aquita | ard (D3) |
| ☐ Iron De | eposits (B5) | | | n Reduction in | , | , | ☐ FAC Neutral T | |
| | e Soil Cracks (B6 | | | Stressed Plan | ts (D1) (LRR A | () | ☐ Raised Ant Mo | ounds (D6) (LRR A) |
| | tion Visible on Ae | | | olain in Remark | s) | | ☐ Frost-Heave H | łummocks (D7) |
| □ Sparse | ly Vegetated Cor | ncave Surface (B | 8) | | | | | |
| | ervations: | | | | | | | |
| | /ater Present? | Yes 🗌 | | epth (Inches): | | | | |
| | ole Present? | Yes 🗌 | | epth (Inches): | | Wetla | nd Hydrology Present? | Var D. Na M |
| Saturation | | Yes 🗌 | No ⊠ D | epth (Inches): | | | | Yes ☐ No ⊠ |
| | Capillary fringe) Recorded Data (S | Stroom gallgo me | onitoring well ac | rial photos, pro- | vious inspostio | ne) if avai | lablo: | |
| Describe i | Recorded Data (S | stream gauge, mo | oriitorii g well, ae | nai priotos, pre | vious irispectio | 115), II avai | iable. | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| WEILAND DETERMINATIO | IN DATA FO | INIVI - VVESIE | iii wouii | tailis, valleys allu t | Joast Region | | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Project/Site: Segale Delineation | | City/Cou | unty: Kelso | /Cowlitz County | Sampling Date: 1 | Sampling Date: 12/8/2021 | |
| Applicant/Owner: Trammell Crow Company | | | State: V | ** * | Sampling Point: T | P-11 | |
| Investigator(s): Baker, Erin; Taylor, Steffanie | | | | p, Range: <u>\$12, T07N,</u> | | | |
| Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Floodplain | | | | onvex, none): Concave | | ope (%):0-3 % | |
| Subregion (LRR): A2 | Lat: 46.10 | 33284 | | 2.8858642 | Datum: NAD8 | 33 | |
| Soil Map Unit Name: Caples silty clay loam Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical | for this time of | fyeer? Vec | No / | NWI classification: PFO | C | | |
| Are Climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical Are Vegetation□, Soil□, or Hydrology□ significan | | | | Circumstances" present | 2 Ves⊠ No□ | | |
| Are Vegetation□, Soil□, or Hydrology□ anaturally | | | | any answers in Remark | | | |
| SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site ma | - | • | | | • | etc | |
| Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ⊠ No | | | | <u>-</u> | | , 0.0. | |
| Hydric Soils Present? Yes ⊠ No | _ | | npled Area | | | | |
| Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ⊠ No | | within a V | | | lo□ | | |
| Remarks: General study area is located on a large a study area beyond the base of the slope near the parwetland. | | | | | | | |
| NEOFTATION Have been discussed at | | | | | | | |
| VEGETATION – Use scientific names of pl | | Daninant | la dia atau | Daminana Taat Wa | wheels and | | |
| Tree Stratum (Plot size:30 ft radius) | Absolute % Cover | Dominant Species? | Indicator Status | Dominance Test Wo | rksneet | | |
| 1. | % COVE | <u>Opecies:</u> | Olalus | Number of Dominant | Species | 3 (A) | |
| | - / % | | | That Are OBL, FACW | | (71) | |
| 3. | <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| 4. | % | - | | Total Number of Dom | | 3 (B) | |
| 50% = 20% = | % | =Total Cover | | Species Across All St | rata: | `` | |
| | | • | | Percent of Dominant | Species | | |
| Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft. radius) | | | | That Are OBL, FACW | | 100 (A/B) | |
| Spiraea douglasii | 15% | yes | FACW | Prevalence Index wo | | 100 (100) | |
| 2. | % | | | Total % Cover of | | ultiply by: | |
| 3. | % | | | OBL species | x 1= | | |
| 4. | % | | | FACW species | x 2= | | |
| 5 | % | | | FAC species | x 3= | | |
| 50% = <u>8</u> 20% = <u>3</u> | 15% | =Total Cover | | FACU species | x 4= | | |
| Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft radius) | 400/ | | E4 0\4/ | UPL species | x 5= | | |
| 1. Phalaris arundinacea | 10% | yes | FACW | Column Totals: | (A) | (B) | |
| Lotus corniculatus Juncus effusus | 5% 3% | yes | FAC | | ce Index = B/A= | | |
| | 2% | no | FACW OBL | Hydrophytic Vegetat | | rotation | |
| 4. Typha latifolia 5. | | no | OBL | ☐ 1 = Rapid Test I | | jetation | |
| ^ | | | | 3 - Prevalence I | | | |
| 6. 7. | - / % | | | 4 - Morphologic | | ovide | |
| 8. | % | | | | in Remarks or on a | | |
| 9. | % | | | sheet) | | · | |
| 10. | % | | | 5 - Wetland Nor | n-Vascular Plants1 | | |
| 11. | % | | | | | | |
| $50\% = \underline{10} \ 20\% = \underline{4}$ | 20% | =Total Cover | | ☐ Problematic Hye | drophytic Vegetation | n¹ (Explain) | |
| Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius) | | | | | | | |
| 1 | % | | | ¹ Indicators of hydric s | • | ••• | |
| 2 | % | Tatal Carra | | must be present, unle | ss disturbed or prol | blematic. | |
| 50% = 20% = | % | =Total Cover | | Hydrophytic | | | |
| | | Vegetation | | | | | |
| 0/ David Charles Harb Charles 00*0/ | | | | Present? | Ye | s⊠ No□ | |
| % Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 80*% | | | | | | | |
| Remarks:*The area surrounding the test plot was ap | oproximately 8 | 0% open water. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| SOIL | | | | | | | | Sampling Point: TP-11 |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Profile De | scription: (Desc | ribe to the deptl | h needed to do | cument the ind | licator or confi | irm the a | bsence of indicators.) | |
| Danth | N.4 - 4 - 4 - 1 | | | Daday Faat | | | | |
| Depth (inches) | Matrix Color (moist) | % | Color (moist) | Redox Feat % | ures Type ¹ | Loc ² | Texture | Remarks |
| (IIICIIC3) | Color (moist) | | COIOI (IIIOISI) | | Туре | LOC | Texture | See Remarks Below |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | % | | % | | | | |
| | | <u></u> % | | %_ | | | | |
| | | <u>%</u> | | | | | | |
| | | <u> </u> | | <u>%</u> % | | | | |
| | | % | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| ¹Type: C | =Concentration, I | | -Reduced Matr | | Lor Coated San | d Grains | . ² Location: PL=Pore | Lining M-Matrix |
| | il Indicators: (Ap | | | | | | Indicators for Problemat | |
| Histosa | | | ☐ Sandy Re | | -, | _ | 2 cm Muck (A10) | |
| | pipedon (A2) | | Stripped N | | | | Red Parent Material (TF | (2) |
| ☐ Black F | Histic (A3) | | ☐ Loamy Mu | ucky Mineral (F1 | 1) (except MLR | RA 1) [| ☐ Very Shallow Dark Surfa | ace (TF12) |
| ☐ Hydrog | en Sulfide (A4) | | ☐ Loamy Gl | eyed Matrix (F2 | 2) | | Other (Explain in Remar | ·ks) |
| ☐ Deplete | ed Below Dark Su | rface (A11) | □ Depleted | Matrix (F3) | | | | |
| ☐ Thick □ | ark Surface (A12 |) | ☐ Redox Da | rk Surface (F6) | | 3 | Indicators of hydrophytic v | |
| ☐ Sandy | Mucky Minerals (| S1) | □ Depleted | Dark Surface (F | 7) | | Wetland hydrology must | |
| ☐ Sandy | Gleyed Matrix (S4 | l) | ☐ Redox De | pressions (F8) | | | unless disturbed or prob | lematic |
| Restrictiv | e Layer (if prese | nt): | | | | <u> </u> | | • |
| | , | , | | | | | | |
| Type: | . | | | | | | | |
| Depth (inc | | | | | | | ric Soil Present? | Yes⊠ No□ |
| Remarks: | Test plot area inu | ndated with appr | oximately 8 inch | es of water. No | test pit dug, so | ils assun | ned hydric. | |
| HYDROL | OGY | | | | | | | |
| Wetland H | lydrology Indica | tors: | | | | | | |
| | dicators (min. of c | | ck all that apply |) | | | Secondary Indicat | ors (2 or more required) |
| Surface | e Water (A1) | | □ Water-Sta | ined Leaves (B | 9) (excent MI F | RΔ124 | □ Water-Stained | Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, |
| | ater Table (A2) | | and 4 | · | 9) (except will | \A I, Z, ¬ | 4A, and 4B | |
| ☐ Saturat | , , | | ☐ Salt Crust | , | | | ☐ Drainage Patte | |
| | Marks (B1) | | | vertebrates (B1 | 3) | | ☐ Dry-Season W | |
| | ent Deposits (B2) | | • | Sulfide Odor (C | | | _ , | ble on Aerial Imagery (C9) |
| | eposits (B3) | | | Rhizospheres al | | ts (C3) | ☐ Geomorphic Po | |
| | lat or crust (B4) | | | of Reduced Iron | | , , | ☐ Shallow Aquita | , , |
| _ | posits (B5) | | | n Reduction in | , , | 5) | ☐ FAC Neutral To | |
| ☐ Surface | Soil Cracks (B6) | | ☐ Stunted o | r Stressed Plant | ts (D1) (LRR A) |) | | unds (D6) (LRR A) |
| ☐ Inundat | tion Visible on Ae | rial Imagery (B7) | Other (Ex | plain in Remark | s) | | ☐ Frost-Heave H | ummocks (D7) |
| □ Sparse | ly Vegetated Con | cave Surface (B8 | 3) | | | | | |
| Field Obs | ervations: | | | | | | | |
| | ater Present? | Yes ⊠ | | epth (Inches): | _ | | | |
| | le Present? | Yes 🗌 | | Depth (Inches): | | Wetla | and Hydrology Present? | v |
| Saturation | | Yes 🗌 | No 🗌 🗆 🗅 | epth (Inches): | | | | Yes ⊠ No 🗌 |
| | Capillary fringe) Recorded Data (S | tream dauge mo | nitoring well ae | rial photos, prev | vious inspection | ns) if ava | ilahle: | |
| Describe i | (CCOIdCd Data (O | ircam gauge, me | milloring well, ac | nai priotos, pre | vious irispectioi | 15), 11 ava | nabic. | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| WEILAND DETERMINATIO | NUATAFO | MINI - MAGSIG | i ii iviouiii | iailis, valleys allu (| Juasi Ne | gion | |
|--|---------------------|-----------------|---------------|--|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| Project/Site: Segale Delineation | | City/Cou | | /Cowlitz County | | Date: 12/8/2021 | |
| Applicant/Owner: Trammell Crow Company | | | State: V | | Sampling Point: TP-12 | | |
| Investigator(s): Baker, Erin; Taylor, Steffanie | | | | p, Range: <u>\$12, T07N,</u> | R02W | 01 (0/) | 2.0.0/ |
| Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Floodplain Subregion (LRR): A2 | Lat: 46.103 | | | onvex, none): <u>Convex</u> 2.8858356 | Datum | Slope (%): | J-3 % |
| Soil Map Unit Name: Caples silty clay loam | _ Lat. 40.100 | 5555 | | NWI classification: PFO | | i. <u>NADOS</u> | |
| Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical | for this time of | year? Yes⊠ | No□ (If | f no, explain Remarks.) | | | |
| Are Vegetation□, Soil□, or Hydrology□ significan | tly disturbed? | Are | e "Normal (| Circumstances" present | | No□ | |
| Are Vegetation□, Soil□, or Hydrology□ naturally _I | • | • | | any answers in Remark | • | | |
| SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site ma | p showing s | sampling po | int locati | ons, transects, imp | ortant fe | eatures, etc. | |
| Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ⊠ No | _ | Is the Sar | npled Area | a | | | |
| Hydric Soils Present? Yes ☐ No Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☐ No | | within a V | | | lo⊠ | | |
| Remarks: General study area is located on a large a | | spoils. TP-12 v | as taken n | ear the northwestern bo | undary of | the parcel on a s | teep |
| slope approximately 6 feet above TP-11. Neither hydr | | | | | | | |
| upland area | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| VEGETATION – Use scientific names of place of pl | ants. | | | | | | |
| | Absolute | Dominant | Indicator | Dominance Test Wo | rksheet | | |
| Tree Stratum (Plot size:30 ft radius) | % Cover | Species? | Status | Number of Dominant | Species | | (4) |
| 1. 2. | <u> %</u> % | | | That Are OBL, FACW | | 2 | _ (A) |
| | - % % | · | | - | , 0 | | |
| 3. 4. | <u> </u> | | | Total Number of Dom | | 3 | (B) |
| 50% = 20% = | % | =Total Cover | | Species Across All St | rata: | | _ ` ' |
| | | | | Percent of Dominant | Snaciae | | |
| Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft. radius) | | | | That Are OBL, FACW | | 67 | (A/B) |
| 1. Cystisus scoparius | 25% | yes | UPL | Prevalence Index wo | | | (1 4 -) |
| 2. | % | | | Total % Cover of | of: | Multiply by | <u>:</u> |
| 3. | % | | | OBL species | | x 1= | |
| 4 5. | <u>%</u> % | | | FACW species FAC species | | x 2= x 3= | _ |
| 50% = <u>13</u> 20% = <u>5</u> | 25% | =Total Cover | | FACU species | | x 3= x 4= | _ |
| Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft radius) | 2070 | -10101 00101 | | UPL species | | x 5= | |
| 1. Holcus lanatus | 75% | yes | FAC | Column Totals: | | (A) | (B) |
| 2. Rubus ursinus | 15% | no | FACU | | e Index = | | |
| 3. Dipsacus fullonum | 10% | no | FAC | Hydrophytic Vegetat | | | |
| 45. | <u> </u> | | | ☐ 1 – Rapid Test f ☐ 2 – Dominance | | | |
| 6. | - / 8 | | | 3 - Prevalence I | | | |
| 7. | <u> </u> | | | 4 - Morphologic | | | |
| 8. | % | | | supporting data | | s or on a separa | te |
| 9 | % | | | sheet) | | | |
| 10 | % | | | 5 - Wetland Nor | n-Vascular | Plants ¹ | |
| 11. 50% = 50 20% = 20 | 100% | =Total Cover | | ☐ Problematic Hyd | drophytic V | /egetation1 (Evols | ain) |
| Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius) | 100 /6 | - Total Cover | | Froblematic riye | aropriyuc v | egetation (Expia | 1111 <i>)</i> |
| 1. Rubus armeniacus | 40% | yes | FAC | ¹ Indicators of hydric s | oil and wet | land hydrology | |
| 2. | % | | | must be present, unle | | | |
| $50\% = 20 \ 20\% = 8$ | 40% | =Total Cover | | | | | |
| _ _ | | | | Hydrophytic | | | |
| | | | | Vegetation Present? | | Yes⊠ No | П |
| % Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 0% | | | | i rocom: | | 1002 110 | _ |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | ļ |

| SOIL | | | | | | | | Sampling Point: TP-12 |
|---------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|--|----------------------------|
| Profile De | escription: (Desc | ribe to the deptl | needed to doci | ıment the ind | licator or con | firm the | absence of indicators.) | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Depth | Matrix | | | Redox Feat | | | _ | |
| (inches) | Color (moist) | <u></u> % | Color (moist) | <u></u> % | Type ¹ | Loc ² | Texture | Remarks |
| 0-16 | 10YR 4/3 | 100% | | <u>%</u> | | | Sand | |
| | | <u>%</u> | | <u>%</u> | | | | |
| | | % | | % | | | | |
| | | <u></u> % | | % | | | | |
| | | <u>%</u> | | % | | | | |
| | | <u>%</u> | | <u>%</u> | | | | |
| | | % | | % | | | | |
| : | | <u>%</u> | | <u>%</u> | | | | |
| | C=Concentration, | | | | | and Grains | | |
| - | oil Indicators: (A | oplicable to all L | | | .) | | Indicators for Problemat | ic Hydric Soils |
| Histosa | | | ☐ Sandy Red | | | | 2 cm Muck (A10) | |
| | Epipedon (A2) | | ☐ Stripped Ma | | | | ☐ Red Parent Material (TF | |
| □ Black I | Histic (A3) | | ☐ Loamy Mud | ky Mineral (F1 | I) (except ML | .RA 1) | ☐ Very Shallow Dark Surfa | ace (TF12) |
| ☐ Hydrog | gen Sulfide (A4) | | ☐ Loamy Gley | ed Matrix (F2 |) | | ☐ Other (Explain in Remai | rks) |
| ☐ Deplet | ed Below Dark Su | ırface (A11) | □ Depleted M | atrix (F3) | | | | |
| ☐ Thick [| Dark Surface (A12 | 2) | | Surface (F6) | | | ³ Indicators of hydrophytic v | egetation and |
| _ | Mucky Minerals (| , | | ark Surface (F | 7) | | Wetland hydrology mus | |
| - | Gleyed Matrix (S | | ☐ Redox Dep | | ., | | unless disturbed or prob | |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | ☐ Redox Dep | 163310113 (1 0) | | | - | |
| Restrictiv | e Layer (if prese | nt): | | | | | | |
| T | | | | | | | | |
| Type: | <u></u> | | | | | Шли | dria Sail Brasant? | Vaa□ Na⊠ |
| Depth (inc | nes) | | | | | пус | dric Soil Present? | Yes□ No⊠ |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| VDDQ1 | 001/ | | | | | | | |
| HYDROL | OGY | | | | | | | |
| Wetland I | Hydrology Indica | tors: | | | | | | |
| Primary In | dicators (min. of | one required; che | ck all that apply) | | | | Secondary Indicat | tors (2 or more required) |
| _ | | • | | | | | <u></u> | |
| | e Water (A1) | | ☐ Water-Stair | | 9) (except ML | _RA 1, 2, | | Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, |
| ☐ High W | /ater Table (A2) | | and 4B |) | | | 4A, and 4B | 3) |
| ☐ Satura | tion (A3) | | ☐ Salt Crust (| B11) | | | ☐ Drainage Patte | erns (B10) |
| ☐ Water | Marks (B1) | | ☐ Aquatic Inv | ertebrates (B1 | 3) | | ☐ Dry-Season W | ater Table (C2) |
| ☐ Sedime | ent Deposits (B2) | | ☐ Hydrogen S | Sulfide Odor (C | 21) | | ☐ Saturation Visi | ble on Aerial Imagery (C9) |
| | eposits (B3) | | Oxidized RI | | | ots (C3) | ☐ Geomorphic P | |
| | flat or crust (B4) | | ☐ Presence o | | | () | ☐ Shallow Aquita | |
| _ | eposits (B5) | | ☐ Recent Iron | | , , | ·6) | ☐ FAC Neutral T | ` ' |
| | | | | | , | , | | |
| | e Soil Cracks (B6) | | ☐ Stunted or | | | A) | | ounds (D6) (LRR A) |
| | tion Visible on Ae | | ☐ Other (Expl | ain in Remark | s) | | ☐ Frost-Heave H | ummocks (D7) |
| • | ly Vegetated Con | cave Surface (B8 | 3) | | | | | |
| | ervations: | | | | | | | |
| Surface W | ater Present? | Yes 🗌 | | pth (Inches): | | | | |
| Water Tab | ole Present? | Yes 🗌 | No 🛛 De | pth (Inches): | | Wet | land Hydrology Present? | |
| Saturation | Present? | Yes 🗌 | No 🛛 De | pth (Inches): | | | | Yes 🗌 No 🛛 |
| | Capillary fringe) | | | | | | | |
| Describe I | Recorded Data (S | tream gauge, mo | nitoring well, aeri | al photos, prev | vious inspectio | ons), if av | ailable: | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| WEILAND DEILIMINATION | II DAIAI O | THE TYCOLO | iii woan | tums, vancys und co | • | |
|--|------------------|----------------|-------------|--|---|--------------------|
| Project/Site: Segale Delineation | | City/Cou | unty: Kelso | | Sampling Date: 12/8/2021 | |
| Applicant/Owner: Trammell Crow Company | | | State: V | | Sampling Point: TP-13 | |
| Investigator(s): Baker, Erin; Taylor, Steffanie | | | | p, Range: S12, T07N, R0 | Slope (%): 0-3 | 0/ |
| Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Floodplain Subregion (LRR): A2 | Lat: 46.103 | | | onvex, none): <u>Convex</u> 2.8806658 | Slope (%).0-3 | 70 |
| Soil Map Unit Name: Caples silty clay loam | Lat+0.100 | 50200 | | NWI classification: PFOC | | — |
| Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical | for this time of | year? Yes⊠ | No□ (I | f no, explain Remarks.) | | |
| Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ significant | | Are | e "Normal (| Circumstances" present? Y | | |
| Are Vegetation ☐, Soil ☐, or Hydrology ☐ naturally p | | • | | any answers in Remarks. | , | |
| SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map | showing s | sampling po | int locati | ons, transects, impo | rtant features, etc. | |
| Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ⊠ No | | Is the Sar | npled Area | a | | |
| Hydric Soils Present? Yes ☐ No | | within a V | | Yes⊡ No[| \boxtimes | |
| Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☐ No Remarks: General study area is located on a large a | | enoile TD-13 v | vas takon a | ne an overall representation | n of the central part of the pa | rcol |
| Neither hydric soils nor wetland hydrology were prese | | | | | | ıc c ı. |
| include the action includes the control of the cont | ,, . | | a a | . o mao rocatou in an apian | a a. sa. | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| VECETATION Has a significant and a significant a | | | | | | |
| VEGETATION – Use scientific names of pla | | | | | | |
| | Absolute | Dominant | Indicator | Dominance Test Work | sheet | |
| <u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>30</u> ft radius) | % Cover | Species? | Status | Normalia and Caracia and Car | | |
| 1. | % | | | Number of Dominant Sp That Are OBL, FACW, o | | A) |
| 2. 3. | <u>%</u> % | | | - That Aire OBE, 1 AOW, 0 | 717.6. | |
| 3. 4. | | | | Total Number of Domina | ant 3 (I | B) |
| 50% = 20% = | | =Total Cover | | Species Across All Strat | ta: | -/ |
| <u> </u> | | | | Percent of Dominant Sp | and and | |
| Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft. radius) | | | | That Are OBL, FACW, o | | A/B) |
| 1. Cytisus scoparius | 40% | yes | UPL | Prevalence Index work | | (0) |
| 2. Alnus rubra | 20% | yes | FAC | Total % Cover of: | | |
| 3. | % | | | OBL species | x 1= | |
| 4. | % | | | FACW species | x 2= | |
| 5. 50% = 30 20% = 12 | 60% | =Total Cover | | FAC species FACU species | x 3= | |
| 12 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 | 60% | = rotal Cover | | UPL species | x 4= x 5= | |
| 1. Various grasses and mosses* | 100% | yes | FAC | Column Totals: | | (B) |
| 2. | % | | | | Index = B/A= | (-) |
| 3. | % | | | Hydrophytic Vegetatio | on Indicators: | |
| 4 | % | | | | · Hydrophytic Vegetation | |
| 5 | <u>%</u> | | | ☐ 2 – Dominance Te | | |
| 6. 7. | <u>%</u> % | | | 3 - Prevalence Ind | dex is ≤3.0° Adaptations¹ (Provide | |
| 8. | | · | | | Remarks or on a separate | |
| 9. | % | | | sheet) | | |
| 10. | % | | | ☐ 5 - Wetland Non-V | /ascular Plants1 | |
| 11. | % | | | | | |
| 50% = <u>50</u> 20% = <u>20</u> | 100% | =Total Cover | | ☐ Problematic Hydro | ophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) | |
| Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft radius) | 0/ | | | 1 Indicators of budgio soil | and watland by dralogy | |
| 1. 2. | <u>%</u> % | | | Indicators of hydric soil must be present unless | disturbed or problematic. | |
| | | =Total Cover | | made be present, amose | distarbed of problematic. | |
| 50% = 20% = | - | | | Hydrophytic | | |
| | | | | Vegetation | | |
| % Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0%</u> | | | | Present? | Yes⊠ No⊡ | |
| Remarks:*Assumed FAC indicator status. | | | | | | |
| Remarks. Assumed FAC indicator status. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| SOIL | | | | | | | | Sampling Point: TP-13 |
|------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--|----------------------------|
| Profile De | escription: (Desc | ribe to the dept | h needed to doo | ument the inc | dicator or conf | firm the a | bsence of indicators.) | |
| Da d | | | | D- ! | | | | |
| Depth | Matrix Color (moist) | <u>«</u> % | Color (moist) | Redox Feat % | ures Type ¹ | Loc ² | Texture | Remarks |
| (inches) 0-16 | 10YR 4/1 | 100% | Coloi (Illoist) | | туре | LUC | Sand | Remarks |
| | 1011(4,1 | % | | | | | Garia | |
| | | <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| | | % | | % | | | | |
| | | % | | % | | | | |
| | | <u></u> % | | %_ | | | | |
| | | % | | % | | | | |
| . | | % | | % | | | | |
| | C=Concentration, | | | | | | | |
| - | oil Indicators: (A | pplicable to all L | | | .) | | Indicators for Problemat | ic Hydric Soils |
| ☐ Histosa | ` ' | | Sandy Red | | | | 2 cm Muck (A10) | ·2/ |
| | Epipedon (A2) | | ☐ Stripped M | | 4) /aa | | Red Parent Material (TF | |
| ☐ Black I | , , | | - | | 1) (except MLI | | Very Shallow Dark Surfa | |
| | gen Sulfide (A4) | | - | yed Matrix (F2 | (.) | L | Other (Explain in Remar | KS) |
| | ed Below Dark Su | | ☐ Depleted N | | | 2. | | |
| | Dark Surface (A12 | • | | k Surface (F6) | | ગ | Indicators of hydrophytic v | |
| - | Mucky Minerals (| • | • | Dark Surface (F | -7) | | Wetland hydrology must unless disturbed or prob | |
| | Gleyed Matrix (S | 4) | ☐ Redox De | oressions (F8) | | | uniess disturbed of proc | nematic |
| Restrictiv | e Layer (if prese | ent): | | | | | | |
| _ | | | | | | | | |
| Type: | | | | | | I In colo | de Call Duananto | Vaa 🗆 Na 🕅 |
| Depth (inc | nes): | | | | | Hyar | ric Soil Present? | Yes⊡ No⊠ |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | | |
| HYDROL | .OGY | | | | | | | |
| | Hydrology Indica | | | | | | | |
| Primary In | dicators (min. of | one required; che | eck all that apply) | | | | Secondary Indicat | ors (2 or more required) |
| ☐ Surface | e Water (A1) | | ☐ Water-Sta | ned Leaves (B | 9) (except ML | RA 1. 2. 4 | A. | Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, |
| | /ater Table (A2) | | and 4l | | o) (oxoopt iii= | | 4A, and 4B | |
| ☐ Satura | , , | | ☐ Salt Crust | , | | | ☐ Drainage Patte | |
| | Marks (B1) | | | (⊃ · ·) ∕ertebrates (B1 | 3) | | ☐ Dry-Season W | , , |
| | ent Deposits (B2) | | - | Sulfide Odor (0 | | | _ , | ble on Aerial Imagery (C9) |
| | eposits (B3) | | | - | long Living Ro | ots (C3) | ☐ Geomorphic Po | |
| | flat or crust (B4) | | | of Reduced Iro | | 0.0 (00) | ☐ Shallow Aquita | |
| _ | eposits (B5) | | | | Tilled Soils (C | 6) | ☐ FAC Neutral To | |
| | e Soil Cracks (B6 |) | | | ts (D1) (LRR A | , | | unds (D6) (LRR A) |
| | tion Visible on Ae | | | lain in Remark | , , , | •, | ☐ Frost-Heave H | , , , |
| | ely Vegetated Cor | | | an in itoman | , | | | ammoone (B1) |
| | servations: | Canado (De | -, | | | | | |
| | /ater Present? | Yes □ | No ⊠ D | epth (Inches): | | | | |
| | ole Present? | Yes 🗌 | | epth (Inches): | | Wetla | and Hydrology Present? | |
| Saturation | Present? | Yes | | epth (Inches): | | j | | Yes 🗌 No 🛛 |
| | Capillary fringe) | | | | | | | |
| Describe I | Recorded Data (S | Stream gauge, mo | onitoring well, ae | ial photos, pre | vious inspectio | ns), if ava | ilable: | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Danie ! | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

RATING SUMMARY – Western Washington

| Name of wetland (or ID #): _ Rated by <u>S. Taylor</u> | Trained by Ecolo | _ | | 2012 | | - | |
|---|------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|---|----|---|
| HGM Class used for rating [| Depressional | _ Wetland h | nas multiple | HGM classes? _ | Χ | _Y | N |

NOTE: Form is not complete without the figures requested (figures can be combined).

Source of base aerial photo/map Google Earth

OVERALL WETLAND CATEGORY II (based on functions X or special characteristics_)

1. Category of wetland based on FUNCTIONS

| | _Category I — Total score = 23 – 27 |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| X | Category II – Total score = 20 – 22 |
| | Category III - Total score = 16 - 19 |
| | _Category IV – Total score = 9 – 15 |

| FUNCTION | Improving Water Quality | | Ну | Hydrologic | | Habitat | | | | |
|---------------------|----------------------------|---|----|------------|--------|----------|----------|---------|-------|-------|
| | | | | (| Circle | the ap | propr | iate ra | tings | |
| Site Potential | Н (| M | L | Н | М | (| Н | M | L | |
| Landscape Potential | \oplus | M | L | \oplus | М | L | Н | M | L | |
| Value | \oplus | М | L | Н | M |) L | \oplus | М | L | TOTAL |
| Score Based on | | 0 | | | _ | | | 7 | | 24 |
| Ratings | | 8 | | | 6 | | | , | | 21 |

Score for each function based on three ratings (order of ratings is not *important)* 9 = H,H,H8 = H,H,M7 = H,H,L7 = H,M,M6 = H,M,L6 = M,M,M5 = H,L,L5 = M,M,L4 = M, L, L3 = L, L, L

2. Category based on SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS of wetland

| CHARACTERISTIC | CATEGORY |
|------------------------------------|-------------|
| Estuarine | 1 11 |
| Wetland of High Conservation Value | I |
| Bog | I |
| Mature Forest | I |
| Old Growth Forest | I |
| Coastal Lagoon | I II |
| Interdunal | I II III IV |
| None of the above | |

Maps and figures required to answer questions correctly for Western Washington

Depressional Wetlands

| Map of: | To answer questions: | Figure # |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| Cowardin plant classes | D 1.3, H 1.1, H 1.4 | 6 |
| Hydroperiods | D 1.4, H 1.2 | 6 |
| Location of outlet (can be added to map of hydroperiods) | D 1.1, D 4.1 | 6 |
| Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure) | D 2.2, D 5.2 | 6 |
| Map of the contributing basin Includes entire Coweeman River watershed | D 4.3, D 5.3 | |
| 1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including | H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3 | 7 |
| polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat | | / |
| Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website) | D 3.1, D 3.2 | 8 |
| Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web) | D 3.3 | 8 |

Riverine Wetlands

| Map of: | To answer questions: | Figure # |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| Cowardin plant classes | H 1.1, H 1.4 | |
| Hydroperiods | H 1.2 | |
| Ponded depressions | R 1.1 | |
| Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure) | R 2.4 | |
| Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants | R 1.2, R 4.2 | |
| Width of unit vs. width of stream (can be added to another figure) | R 4.1 | |
| Map of the contributing basin | R 2.2, R 2.3, R 5.2 | |
| 1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including | H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3 | |
| polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat | | |
| Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website) | R 3.1 | |
| Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web) | R 3.2, R 3.3 | |

Lake Fringe Wetlands

| Map of: | To answer questions: | Figure # |
|--|----------------------------|----------|
| Cowardin plant classes | L 1.1, L 4.1, H 1.1, H 1.4 | |
| Plant cover of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants | L 1.2 | |
| Boundary of area within 150 ft of the wetland (can be added to another figure) | L 2.2 | |
| 1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including | H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3 | |
| polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat | | |
| Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website) | L 3.1, L 3.2 | |
| Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web) | L 3.3 | |

Slope Wetlands

| Map of: | To answer questions: | Figure # |
|---|----------------------|----------|
| Cowardin plant classes | H 1.1, H 1.4 | |
| Hydroperiods | H 1.2 | |
| Plant cover of dense trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants | S 1.3 | |
| Plant cover of dense , rigid trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants | S 4.1 | |
| (can be added to figure above) | | |
| Boundary of 150 ft buffer (can be added to another figure) | S 2.1, S 5.1 | |
| 1 km Polygon: Area that extends 1 km from entire wetland edge - including | H 2.1, H 2.2, H 2.3 | |
| polygons for accessible habitat and undisturbed habitat | | |
| Screen capture of map of 303(d) listed waters in basin (from Ecology website) | S 3.1, S 3.2 | |
| Screen capture of list of TMDLs for WRIA in which unit is found (from web) | S 3.3 | |

HGM Classification of Wetlands in Western Washington

For questions 1-7, the criteria described must apply to the entire unit being rated.

If the hydrologic criteria listed in each question do not apply to the entire unit being rated, you probably have a unit with multiple HGM classes. In this case, identify which hydrologic criteria in questions 1-7 apply, and go to Question 8.

1. Are the water levels in the entire unit usually controlled by tides except during floods?



YES – the wetland class is **Tidal Fringe** – go to 1.1

1.1 Is the salinity of the water during periods of annual low flow below 0.5 ppt (parts per thousand)?

NO - Saltwater Tidal Fringe (Estuarine)

YES - Freshwater Tidal Fringe

If your wetland can be classified as a Freshwater Tidal Fringe use the forms for **Riverine** wetlands. If it is Saltwater Tidal Fringe it is an **Estuarine** wetland and is not scored. This method **cannot** be used to score functions for estuarine wetlands.

2. The entire wetland unit is flat and precipitation is the only source (>90%) of water to it. Groundwater and surface water runoff are NOT sources of water to the unit.

NO – go to 3

YES – The wetland class is **Flats**

If your wetland can be classified as a Flats wetland, use the form for **Depressional** wetlands.

- 3. Does the entire wetland unit **meet all** of the following criteria?
 - __The vegetated part of the wetland is on the shores of a body of permanent open water (without any plants on the surface at any time of the year) at least 20 ac (8 ha) in size;
 - At least 30% of the open water area is deeper than 6.6 ft (2 m).

NO – go to 4

YES - The wetland class is **Lake Fringe** (Lacustrine Fringe)

- 4. Does the entire wetland unit **meet all** of the following criteria?
 - ___The wetland is on a slope (slope can be very gradual),
 - The water flows through the wetland in one direction (unidirectional) and usually comes from seeps. It may flow subsurface, as sheetflow, or in a swale without distinct banks,
 - __The water leaves the wetland **without being impounded**.

NO – 30 to 5

YES - The wetland class is **Slope**

NOTE: Surface water does not pond in these type of wetlands except occasionally in very small and shallow depressions or behind hummocks (depressions are usually <3 ft diameter and less than 1 ft deep).

- 5. Does the entire wetland unit **meet all** of the following criteria?
 - X The unit is in a valley, or stream channel, where it gets inundated by overbank flooding from that stream or river.
 - X The overbank flooding occurs at least once every 2 years.

Wetland name or number A

NO – go to 6

YES The wetland class is **Riverine**

NOTE: The Riverine unit can contain depressions that are filled with water when the river is not flooding

6. Is the entire wetland unit in a topographic depression in which water ponds, or is saturated to the surface, at some time during the year? *This means that any outlet, if present, is higher than the interior of the wetland.*

NO - go to 7

YES – The wetland class is **Depressional**

7. Is the entire wetland unit located in a very flat area with no obvious depression and no overbank flooding? The unit does not pond surface water more than a few inches. The unit seems to be maintained by high groundwater in the area. The wetland may be ditched, but has no obvious natural outlet.

NO - go to 8

YES – The wetland class is **Depressional**

8. Your wetland unit seems to be difficult to classify and probably contains several different HGM classes. For example, seeps at the base of a slope may grade into a riverine floodplain, or a small stream within a Depressional wetland has a zone of flooding along its sides. GO BACK AND IDENTIFY WHICH OF THE HYDROLOGIC REGIMES DESCRIBED IN QUESTIONS 1-7 APPLY TO DIFFERENT AREAS IN THE UNIT (make a rough sketch to help you decide). Use the following table to identify the appropriate class to use for the rating system if you have several HGM classes present within the wetland unit being scored.

NOTE: Use this table only if the class that is recommended in the second column represents 10% or more of the total area of the wetland unit being rated. If the area of the HGM class listed in column 2 is less than 10% of the unit; classify the wetland using the class that represents more than 90% of the total area.

| HGM classes within the wetland unit | HGM class to |
|--|---------------|
| being rated | use in rating |
| Slope + Riverine | Riverine |
| Slope + Depressional | Depressional |
| Slope + Lake Fringe | Lake Fringe |
| Depressional + Riverine along stream within boundary of depression | Depressional |
| Depressional + Lake Fringe | Depressional |
| Riverine + Lake Fringe | Riverine |
| Salt Water Tidal Fringe and any other | Treat as |
| class of freshwater wetland | ESTUARINE |

If you are still unable to determine which of the above criteria apply to your wetland, or if you have **more than 2 HGM classes** within a wetland boundary, classify the wetland as Depressional for the rating.

| DEPRESSIONAL AND FLATS WETLANDS | |
|---|-----------|
| Water Quality Functions - Indicators that the site functions to improve water quality | |
| D 1.0. Does the site have the potential to improve water quality? | |
| D 1.1. Characteristics of surface water outflows from the wetland: | |
| Wetland is a depression or flat depression (QUESTION 7 on key) with no surface water leaving it (no outlet). | |
| points = 3 | 1 |
| Wetland has an intermittently flowing stream or ditch, OR highly constricted permanently flowing outlet. | 1 |
| Wetland has an unconstricted, or slightly constricted, surface outlet that is permanently flowing points = 1 | |
| Wetland is a flat depression (QUESTION 7 on key), whose outlet is a permanently flowing ditch. points = 1 | |
| D 1.2. The soil 2 in below the surface (or duff layer) is true clay or true organic (use NRCS definitions). Yes = 4 No = 0 | 0 |
| D 1.3. <u>Characteristics and distribution of persistent plants</u> (Emergent, Scrub-shrub, and/or Forested Cowardin classes): | |
| Wetland has persistent, ungrazed, plants > 95% of area points = 5 | |
| Wetland has persistent, ungrazed, plants > ½ of area points = 3 | 3 |
| Wetland has persistent, ungrazed plants $> \frac{1}{10}$ of area points = 1 Wetland has persistent, ungrazed plants $< \frac{1}{10}$ of area points = 0 | |
| Wetland has persistent, drigitazed plants < 7 10 or area points = 0 | |
| D 1.4. Characteristics of seasonal ponding or inundation: Most of ponding is permanent | |
| This is the area that is ponded for at least 2 months. See description in manual. | |
| Area seasonally ponded is > ½ total area of wetland points = 4 | 2 |
| Area seasonally ponded is > 1/4 total area of wetland points = 2 | |
| Area seasonally ponded is < 1/4 total area of wetland points = 0 | |
| Total for D 1 Add the points in the boxes above | 6 |
| Rating of Site Potential If score is: 12-16 = H X 6-11 = M 0-5 = L Record the rating on the first po | age |
| D 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the water quality function of the site? | |
| D 2.1. Does the wetland unit receive stormwater discharges? Yes = 1 No = 0 | 1 |
| D 2.2. Is $> 10\%$ of the area within 150 ft of the wetland in land uses that generate pollutants? Yes = 1 No = 0 | 1 |
| D 2.3. Are there septic systems within 250 ft of the wetland? Yes = 1 No = 0 | 0 |
| D 2.4. Are there other sources of pollutants coming into the wetland that are not listed in questions D 2.1-D 2.3? | 1 |
| Source waterfowl concentrations Yes = 1 No = 0 | 1 |
| Total for D 2 Add the points in the boxes above | 3 |
| Rating of Landscape Potential If score is: X 3 or 4 = H 1 or 2 = M 0 = L Record the rating on the factors are second to the rating of | irst page |
| | |
| D 3.0. Is the water quality improvement provided by the site valuable to society? | |
| D 3.1. Does the wetland discharge directly (i.e., within 1 mi) to a stream, river, lake, or marine water that is on the | 1 |
| D 3.1. Does the wetland discharge directly (i.e., within 1 mi) to a stream, river, lake, or marine water that is on the 303(d) list? Yes = 1 No = 0 | 1 |
| D 3.1. Does the wetland discharge directly (i.e., within 1 mi) to a stream, river, lake, or marine water that is on the 303(d) list? Ves = 1 No = 0 D 3.2. Is the wetland in a basin or sub-basin where an aquatic resource is on the 303(d) list? Yes = 1 No = 0 | 1 |
| D 3.1. Does the wetland discharge directly (i.e., within 1 mi) to a stream, river, lake, or marine water that is on the 303(d) list? Ves = 1 No = 0 D 3.2. Is the wetland in a basin or sub-basin where an aquatic resource is on the 303(d) list? Yes = 1 No = 0 D 3.3. Has the site been identified in a watershed or local plan as important for maintaining water quality (answer YES) | |
| D 3.1. Does the wetland discharge directly (i.e., within 1 mi) to a stream, river, lake, or marine water that is on the 303(d) list? Ves = 1 No = 0 D 3.2. Is the wetland in a basin or sub-basin where an aquatic resource is on the 303(d) list? Yes = 1 No = 0 D 3.3. Has the site been identified in a watershed or local plan as important for maintaining water quality (answer YES) | 1 |

| DEPRESSIONAL AND FLATS WETLANDS | |
|---|------------|
| Hydrologic Functions - Indicators that the site functions to reduce flooding and stream degradati | on |
| D 4.0. Does the site have the potential to reduce flooding and erosion? | |
| D 4.1. Characteristics of surface water outflows from the wetland: Wetland is a depression or flat depression with no surface water leaving it (no outlet) Wetland has an intermittently flowing stream or ditch, OR highly constricted permanently flowing outlet points = 2 Wetland is a flat depression (QUESTION 7 on key), whose outlet is a permanently flowing ditch Wetland has an unconstricted, or slightly constricted, surface outlet that is permanently flowing points = 0 | 0 |
| D 4.2. Depth of storage during wet periods: Estimate the height of ponding above the bottom of the outlet. For wetlands with no outlet, measure from the surface of permanent water or if dry, the deepest part. Marks of ponding are 3 ft or more above the surface or bottom of outlet points = 7 Marks of ponding between 2 ft to < 3 ft from surface or bottom of outlet points = 5 Marks are at least 0.5 ft to < 2 ft from surface or bottom of outlet points = 3 The wetland is a "headwater" wetland points = 3 Wetland is flat but has small depressions on the surface that trap water points = 1 Marks of ponding less than 0.5 ft (6 in) points = 0 | 5 |
| D 4.3. Contribution of the wetland to storage in the watershed: Estimate the ratio of the area of upstream basin contributing surface water to the wetland to the area of the wetland unit itself. The area of the basin is less than 10 times the area of the unit points = 5 The area of the basin is 10 to 100 times the area of the unit points = 3 The area of the basin is more than 100 times the area of the unit points = 0 Entire wetland is in the Flats class points = 5 Includes Coweeman River watershed as the Coweeman back-floods into wetland. | 0 |
| Total for D 4 Add the points in the boxes above | 5 |
| Rating of Site Potential If score is: 12-16 = H 6-11 = M X 0-5 = L Record the rating on the | first page |
| D 5.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support hydrologic functions of the site? | |
| D 5.1. Does the wetland receive stormwater discharges? Yes = 1 No = 0 | 1 |
| D 5.2. Is >10% of the area within 150 ft of the wetland in land uses that generate excess runoff? Yes = 1 No = 0 | 1 |
| D 5.3. Is more than 25% of the contributing basin of the wetland covered with intensive human land uses (residential at >1 residence/ac, urban, commercial, agriculture, etc.)? Yes = 1 No = 0 | 1 |
| Total for D 5 Add the points in the boxes above | 3 |
| Rating of Landscape Potential If score is: X 3 = H 1 or 2 = M 0 = L Record the rating on the | first page |
| D 6.0. Are the hydrologic functions provided by the site valuable to society? | |
| D 6.1. The unit is in a landscape that has flooding problems. Choose the description that best matches conditions around the wetland unit being rated. Do not add points. Choose the highest score if more than one condition is met. The wetland captures surface water that would otherwise flow down-gradient into areas where flooding has damaged human or natural resources (e.g., houses or salmon redds): • Flooding occurs in a sub-basin that is immediately down-gradient of unit. • Surface flooding problems are in a sub-basin farther down-gradient. Flooding from groundwater is an issue in the sub-basin. The existing or potential outflow from the wetland is so constrained by human or natural conditions that the | 1 |
| water stored by the wetland cannot reach areas that flood. <i>Explain why</i> points = 0 There are no problems with flooding downstream of the wetland. points = 0 | |
| | |
| D 6.2. Has the site been identified as important for flood storage or flood conveyance in a regional flood control plan? Yes = 2 No = 0 | 0 |
| Total for D 6 Add the points in the boxes above | 1 |

Rating of Value If score is: ____2-4 = H ___X __1 = M ____0 = L

Record the rating on the first page

These questions apply to wetlands of all HGM classes. **HABITAT FUNCTIONS** - Indicators that site functions to provide important habitat H 1.0. Does the site have the potential to provide habitat? H 1.1. Structure of plant community: Indicators are Cowardin classes and strata within the Forested class. Check the Cowardin plant classes in the wetland. Up to 10 patches may be combined for each class to meet the threshold of ¼ ac or more than 10% of the unit if it is smaller than 2.5 ac. Add the number of structures checked. X Aquatic bed 4 structures or more: points = 4 X Emergent 3 structures: points = 2 X Scrub-shrub (areas where shrubs have > 30% cover) 2 structures: points = 1 2 Forested (areas where trees have > 30% cover) 1 structure: points = 0 If the unit has a Forested class, check if: The Forested class has 3 out of 5 strata (canopy, sub-canopy, shrubs, herbaceous, moss/ground-cover) that each cover 20% within the Forested polygon FO areas are not >1/4ac and or do not have >30% cover within polygon. H 1.2. Hydroperiods Check the types of water regimes (hydroperiods) present within the wetland. The water regime has to cover more than 10% of the wetland or ¼ ac to count (see text for descriptions of hydroperiods). X Permanently flooded or inundated 4 or more types present: points = 3 X Seasonally flooded or inundated 3 types present: points = 2 Occasionally flooded or inundated 2 types present: points = 1 2 X Saturated only 1 type present: points = 0 _Permanently flowing stream or river in, or adjacent to, the wetland Not 10% or ¼ acre of unit ____Seasonally flowing stream in, or adjacent to, the wetland Lake Fringe wetland 2 points Freshwater tidal wetland 2 points H 1.3. Richness of plant species Count the number of plant species in the wetland that cover at least 10 ft². Different patches of the same species can be combined to meet the size threshold and you do not have to name the species. Do not include Eurasian milfoil, reed canarygrass, purple loosestrife, Canadian thistle 2 If you counted: > 19 species points = 2 5 - 19 species points = 1 < 5 species points = 0 H 1.4. Interspersion of habitats Decide from the diagrams below whether interspersion among Cowardin plants classes (described in H 1.1), or the classes and unvegetated areas (can include open water or mudflats) is high, moderate, low, or none. If you have four or more plant classes or three classes and open water, the rating is always high. 3 None = 0 points Low = 1 point Moderate = 2 points All three diagrams in this row are **HIGH** = 3points

Wetland name or number A

| H 1.5. Special habitat features: Check the habitat features that are present in the wetland. The number of checks is the number of points. X Large, downed, woody debris within the wetland (> 4 in diameter and 6 ft long). X Standing snags (dbh > 4 in) within the wetland Undercut banks are present for at least 6.6 ft (2 m) and/or overhanging plants extends at least 3.3 ft (1 m) over a stream (or ditch) in, or contiguous with the wetland, for at least 33 ft (10 m) X Stable steep banks of fine material that might be used by beaver or muskrat for denning (> 30 degree slope) OR signs of recent beaver activity are present (cut shrubs or trees that have not yet weathered where wood is exposed) X At least ¼ ac of thin-stemmed persistent plants or woody branches are present in areas that are permanently or seasonally inundated (structures for egg-laying by amphibians) Invasive plants cover less than 25% of the wetland area in every stratum of plants (see H 1.1 for list of strata) | 4 |
|---|----------------|
| Total for H 1 Add the points in the boxes above | 13 |
| Rating of Site Potential If score is:15-18 = HX_7-14 = M0-6 = L | the first page |
| H 2.0. Does the landscape have the potential to support the habitat functions of the site? | |
| | |
| H 2.1. Accessible habitat (include only habitat that directly abuts wetland unit). Calculate: % undisturbed habitat 0 + [(% moderate and low intensity land uses)/2]2.3 = 2.3 % If total accessible habitat is: > $^{1}/_{3}$ (33.3%) of 1 km Polygon points = 3 20-33% of 1 km Polygon points = 2 10-19% of 1 km Polygon points = 1 < 10% of 1 km Polygon points = 0 | 0 |
| H 2.2. Undisturbed habitat in 1 km Polygon around the wetland. Calculate: % undisturbed habitat 26.8 + [(% moderate and low intensity land uses)/2]19.3 = 46.1 % Undisturbed habitat > 50% of Polygon points = 3 Undisturbed habitat 10-50% and in 1-3 patches points = 2 Undisturbed habitat 10-50% and > 3 patches points = 1 Undisturbed habitat < 10% of 1 km Polygon points = 0 H 2.3. Land use intensity in 1 km Polygon: If | 1 |
| > 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity land use points = (-2) | 0 |
| ≤ 50% of 1 km Polygon is high intensity points = 0 | |
| Total for H 2 Add the points in the boxes above | 1 |
| Rating of Landscape Potential If score is:4-6 = HX_1-3 = M<1 = L Record the rating on to | he first page |
| H 3.0. Is the habitat provided by the site valuable to society? | |
| H 3.1. Does the site provide habitat for species valued in laws, regulations, or policies? Choose only the highest score that applies to the wetland being rated. Site meets ANY of the following criteria: E— It has 3 or more priority habitats within 100 m (see next page) E— It provides habitat for Threatened or Endangered species (any plant or animal on the state or federal lists — It is mapped as a location for an individual WDFW priority species — It is a Wetland of High Conservation Value as determined by the Department of Natural Resources — It has been categorized as an important habitat site in a local or regional comprehensive plan, in a Shoreline Master Plan, or in a watershed plan Site has 1 or 2 priority habitats (listed on next page) within 100 m points = 0 | 2 |

Rating of Value If score is: X 2 = H 1 = M 0 = L

Record the rating on the first page

WDFW Priority Habitats

<u>Priority habitats listed by WDFW</u> (see complete descriptions of WDFW priority habitats, and the counties in which they can be found, in: Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2008. Priority Habitat and Species List. Olympia, Washington. 177 pp. http://wdfw.wa.gov/publications/00165/wdfw00165.pdf or access the list from here: http://wdfw.wa.gov/conservation/phs/list/)

Count how many of the following priority habitats are within 330 ft (100 m) of the wetland unit: **NOTE:** This question is independent of the land use between the wetland unit and the priority habitat. **Aspen Stands:** Pure or mixed stands of aspen greater than 1 ac (0.4 ha)

| | _ispensional. The of mixed stands of aspen greater than I at (0.1 ha). |
|---|---|
| | Biodiversity Areas and Corridors : Areas of habitat that are relatively important to various species of native fish and wildlife (<i>full descriptions in WDFW PHS report</i>). |
| | Herbaceous Balds: Variable size patches of grass and forbs on shallow soils over bedrock. |
| | Old-growth/Mature forests: Old-growth west of Cascade crest – Stands of at least 2 tree species, forming a multi-layered canopy with occasional small openings; with at least 8 trees/ac (20 trees/ha) > 32 in (81 cm) dbh or > 200 years of age. Mature forests – Stands with average diameters exceeding 21 in (53 cm) dbh; crown cover may be less than 100%; decay, decadence, numbers of snags, and quantity of large downed material is generally less than that found in old-growth; 80-200 years old west of the Cascade crest. |
| | Oregon White Oak: Woodland stands of pure oak or oak/conifer associations where canopy coverage of the oak component is important (<i>full descriptions in WDFW PHS report p. 158 – see web link above</i>). |
| Ξ | Riparian : The area adjacent to aquatic systems with flowing water that contains elements of both aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems which mutually influence each other. |
| | Westside Prairies: Herbaceous, non-forested plant communities that can either take the form of a dry prairie or a wet prairie (full descriptions in WDFW PHS report p. 161 – see web link above). |
| I | Instream: The combination of physical, biological, and chemical processes and conditions that interact to provide functional life history requirements for instream fish and wildlife resources. |
| | Nearshore : Relatively undisturbed nearshore habitats. These include Coastal Nearshore, Open Coast Nearshore, and Puget Sound Nearshore. (full descriptions of habitats and the definition of relatively undisturbed are in WDFW report – see web link on previous page). |
| | Caves: A naturally occurring cavity, recess, void, or system of interconnected passages under the earth in soils, rock, ice, or other geological formations and is large enough to contain a human. |
| | Cliffs: Greater than 25 ft (7.6 m) high and occurring below 5000 ft elevation. |
| | Talus: Homogenous areas of rock rubble ranging in average size 0.5 - 6.5 ft (0.15 - 2.0 m), composed of basalt, and esite, and/or sedimentary rock, including riprap slides and mine tailings. May be associated with cliffs. |
| Ξ | Snags and Logs: Trees are considered snags if they are dead or dying and exhibit sufficient decay characteristics to enable cavity excavation/use by wildlife. Priority snags have a diameter at breast height of > 20 in (51 cm) in western Washington and are > 6.5 ft (2 m) in height. Priority logs are > 12 in (30 cm) in diameter at the largest end, and > 20 ft (6 m) long. |

Note: All vegetated wetlands are by definition a priority habitat but are not included in this list because they are addressed elsewhere.

CATEGORIZATION BASED ON SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS

| Wetland Type | Category |
|---|----------|
| Check off any criteria that apply to the wetland. Circle the category when the appropriate criteria are met. | |
| SC 1.0. Estuarine wetlands | |
| Does the wetland meet the following criteria for Estuarine wetlands? | |
| — The dominant water regime is tidal, | |
| — Vegetated, and | |
| — With a salinity greater than 0.5 ppt Yes –Go to SC 1.1 No= Not an estuarine wetland | |
| SC 1.1. Is the wetland within a National Wildlife Refuge, National Park, National Estuary Reserve, Natural Area | |
| Preserve, State Park or Educational, Environmental, or Scientific Reserve designated under WAC 332-30-151? | Cat. I |
| Yes = Category I No - Go to SC 1.2 | Cat. 1 |
| SC 1.2. Is the wetland unit at least 1 ac in size and meets at least two of the following three conditions? | |
| — The wetland is relatively undisturbed (has no diking, ditching, filling, cultivation, grazing, and has less | Cat. I |
| than 10% cover of non-native plant species. (If non-native species are <i>Spartina</i> , see page 25) | Cat. 1 |
| — At least ¾ of the landward edge of the wetland has a 100 ft buffer of shrub, forest, or un-grazed or un- | |
| mowed grassland. — The wetland has at least two of the following features: tidal channels, depressions with open water, or | Cat. II |
| contiguous freshwater wetlands. Yes = Category I No = Category II | |
| | |
| SC 2.0. Wetlands of High Conservation Value (WHCV) | |
| SC 2.1. Has the WA Department of Natural Resources updated their website to include the list of Wetlands of High | Cat. I |
| Conservation Value? Yes – Go to SC 2.2 No – To to SC 2.3 No – To to SC 2.3 | Cat. 1 |
| SC 2.2. Is the wetland listed on the WDNR database as a Wetland of High Conservation Value? Yes = Category I No = Not a WHCV | |
| SC 2.3. Is the wetland in a Section/Township/Range that contains a Natural Heritage wetland? | |
| http://www1.dnr.wa.gov/nhp/refdesk/datasearch/wnhpwetlands.pdf | |
| Yes – Contact WNHP/WDNR and go to SC 2.4 No = Not a WHCV | |
| SC 2.4. Has WDNR identified the wetland within the S/T/R as a Wetland of High Conservation Value and listed it on | |
| their website? Yes = Category I No = Not a WHCV | |
| SC 3.0. Bogs | |
| Does the wetland (or any part of the unit) meet both the criteria for soils and vegetation in bogs? <i>Use the key</i> | |
| below. If you answer YES you will still need to rate the wetland based on its functions. SC 3.1. Does an area within the wetland unit have organic soil horizons, either peats or mucks, that compose 16 in or | |
| more of the first 32 in of the soil profile? Yes – Go to SC 3.3 No – Go to SC 3.2 | |
| SC 3.2. Does an area within the wetland unit have organic soils, either peats or mucks, that are less than 16 in deep | |
| over bedrock, or an impermeable hardpan such as clay or volcanic ash, or that are floating on too of a lake or | |
| pond? Yes – Go to SC 3.3 No = s not a bog | |
| SC 3.3. Does an area with peats or mucks have more than 70% cover of mosses at ground level, AND at least a 30% | |
| cover of plant species listed in Table 4? Yes = Is a Category I bog No - Go to SC 3.4 | |
| NOTE: If you are uncertain about the extent of mosses in the understory, you may substitute that criterion by | |
| measuring the pH of the water that seeps into a hole dug at least 16 in deep. If the pH is less than 5.0 and the | Cat. I |
| plant species in Table 4 are present, the wetland is a bog. SC 3.4. Is an area with peats or mucks forested (> 30% cover) with Sitka spruce, subalpine fir, western red cedar, | |
| western hemlock, lodgepole pine, quaking aspen, Engelmann spruce, or western white pine, AND any of the | |
| species (or combination of species) listed in Table 4 provide more than 30% of the cover under the canopy? | |
| Yes = Is a Category I bog No = Is not a bog | |

| SC 4.0. Forested Wetlands | |
|---|----------|
| Does the wetland have at least 1 contiguous acre of forest that meets one of these criteria for the WA | |
| Department of Fish and Wildlife's forests as priority habitats? <i>If you answer YES you will still need to rate the wetland based on its functions.</i> | |
| — Old-growth forests (west of Cascade crest): Stands of at least two tree species, forming a multi-layered | |
| canopy with occasional small openings; with at least 8 trees/ac (20 trees/ha) that are at least 200 years of | |
| age OR have a diameter at breast height (dbh) of 32 in (81 cm) or more. | |
| — Mature forests (west of the Cascade Crest): Stands where the largest trees are 80- 200 years old OR the | |
| species that make up the canopy have an average diameter (dbh) exceeding 21 in (53 cm). | |
| | Cot |
| Yes = Category I No = Not a forested wetland for this section | Cat. I |
| SC 5.0. Wetlands in Coastal Lagoons | |
| Does the wetland meet all of the following criteria of a wetland in a coastal lagoon? | |
| — The wetland lies in a depression adjacent to marine waters that is wholly or partially separated from | |
| marine waters by sandbanks, gravel banks, shingle, or, less frequently, rocks | |
| — The lagoon in which the wetland is located contains ponded water that is saline or brackish (> 0.5 ppt) | |
| during most of the year in at least a portion of the lagoon (needs to be measured near the bottom) | Cat. I |
| Yes – Go to SC 5.1 No = Not a wetland in a coastal lagoon | |
| SC 5.1. Does the wetland meet all of the following three conditions? | |
| — The wetland is relatively undisturbed (has no diking, ditching, filling, cultivation, grazing), and has less | Cat. II |
| than 20% cover of aggressive, opportunistic plant species (see list of species on p. 100). | Cat. II |
| — At least ¾ of the landward edge of the wetland has a 100 ft buffer of shrub, forest, or un-grazed or un-mowed grassland. | |
| — The wetland is larger than $^{1}/_{10}$ ac (4350 ft ²) | |
| Yes = Category I No = Category II | |
| | |
| SC 6.0. Interdunal Wetlands | |
| Is the wetland west of the 1889 line (also called the Western Boundary of Upland Ownership or WBUO)? If | |
| you answer yes you will still need to rate the wetland based on its habitat functions. | |
| In practical terms that means the following geographic areas: | |
| X Long Beach Peninsula: Lands west of SR 103 — Grayland-Westport: Lands west of SR 105 | Cat I |
| Ocean Shores-Copalis: Lands west of SR 115 and SR 109 | |
| Yes – Go to SC 6.1 No = not an interdunal wetland for leting | |
| | |
| SC 6.1. Is the wetland 1 ac or larger and scores an 8 or 9 for the habitat functions on the form (rates H,H,H of H,H,M) | Cat. II |
| | |
| for the three aspects of function)? Yes = Category I No – Go to SC 6.2 | • |
| SC 6.2. Is the wetland 1 ac or larger, or is it in a mosaic of wetlands that is 1 ac or larger? | |
| SC 6.2. Is the wetland 1 ac or larger, or is it in a mosaic of wetlands that is 1 ac or larger? Yes = Category II No – Go to 6.3 | Cat. III |
| SC 6.2. Is the wetland 1 ac or larger, or is it in a mosaic of wetlands that is 1 ac or larger? Yes = Category II No – Go to SC 6.3 SC 6.3. Is the unit between 0.1 and 1 ac, or is it in a mosaic of wetlands that is between 0.1 and 1 ac? | Cat. III |
| SC 6.2. Is the wetland 1 ac or larger, or is it in a mosaic of wetlands that is 1 ac or larger? Yes = Category II No – Go to 6.3 | |
| SC 6.2. Is the wetland 1 ac or larger, or is it in a mosaic of wetlands that is 1 ac or larger? Yes = Category II No – Go to SC 6.3 SC 6.3. Is the unit between 0.1 and 1 ac, or is it in a mosaic of wetlands that is between 0.1 and 1 ac? | Cat. III |

Wetland name or number \underline{A}

This page left blank intentionally